arXiv:1605.00592v1 [math.RT] 2 May 2016

DEFORMATIONS OF SYMPLECTIC SINGULARITIES AND ORBIT METHOD FOR SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

IVAN LOSEV

Abstract. We classify filtered quantizations of conical symplectic singularities and use this to show that all filtered quantizations of symplectic quotient singularities are spherical Symplectic reflection algebras of Etingof and Ginzburg. We further apply our classification and a classification of filtered Poisson deformations obtained by Namikawa to establish a version of the Orbit method for semisimple Lie algebras. Namely, we produce a natural map from the set of adjoint orbits in a semisimple Lie algebra to the set of primitive ideals in the universal enveloping algebra. We show that the map is injective for classical Lie algebras.

Dedicated to Sasha Premet, on his 60th birthday, with admiration.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Filtered deformations of Poisson algebras 2
1.2. Symplectic singularities 2
1.3. Orbit method 3
1.4. Birational induction 4
1.5. Content of the paper 4
2. Symplectic singularities and their deformations 5
2.1. Symplectic singularities and $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations 5
2.2. Filtered Poisson deformations and period map 6
2.3. Deformations from $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations 7
2.4. Universal deformation of X 9
2.5. Poisson derivations 9
3. Quantizations of symplectic singularities 10
3.1. Quantizations and period maps 10
3.2. Quantizations from $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations 11
3.3. Scheme Y 11
3.4. Algebra $\mathcal{A}_Y$ 12
3.5. Structure of Y 13
3.6. Automorphisms and isomorphisms 14
3.7. Spherical symplectic reflection algebras 15
4. Birational induction and sheets 17
4.1. Lusztig-Spaltenstein induction 17
4.2. Sheets and birational sheets 18
4.3. Structure of $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations 19
4.4. Computation of Weyl groups 20
4.5. Consequences 22

MSC 2010: 16S80, 17B35.

5. W-algebras and Orbit method 23
5.1. W-algebras 24
5.2. Restriction functor for HC bimodules 24
5.3. Orbit method 26
5.4. Toward description of the image 27
References 27

1. Introduction

1.1. **Filtered deformations of Poisson algebras.** Our general setting is as follows. Let A be a finitely generated Poisson algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ that is equipped with an algebra grading $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ such that $A_0 = \mathbb{C}$ and the Poisson bracket has degree $-d$, where d is a positive integer. A basic example is as follows. We take a symplectic vector space V and a finite group Γ of its linear symplectomorphisms. Then we can take the algebra $A = \mathbb{C}[V]^{\Gamma}$ of Γ-invariants in $\mathbb{C}[V]$, this is a graded Poisson subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ with $d = 2$.

We are interested in the filtered deformations of A, i.e., in filtered associative algebras $\mathcal{A}$ together with an isomorphism $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ of graded algebras. We only consider two classes of deformations that are compatible with the bracket on A. First, we consider *filtered Poisson deformations*, i.e., commutative algebras $\mathcal{A}^0$ equipped with a Poisson bracket decreasing the filtration degree by d such that $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ becomes a Poisson algebra isomorphism. Second, we consider *filtered quantizations* $\mathcal{A}$. Those are non-commutative algebras such that the Lie bracket decreases filtration degree by d and $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ is an isomorphism of graded Poisson algebras.

We want to describe filtered Poisson deformations and filtered quantizations of A up to an isomorphism (of deformations). Obviously, it is not possible to solve this problem without putting any restrictions on A. We will assume that $X := \operatorname{Spec} A$ has *symplectic singularities*. In this case, filtered Poisson deformations were classified by Namikawa, [N4], while the classification of quantizations is one of the main results of the present paper (Theorem 3.4).

1.2. **Symplectic singularities.** Recall, following Beauville, [Be], the definition of a variety with symplectic singularities. Let X be a normal algebraic variety such that X^{reg} carries a symplectic form, ω^{reg}. Since X is normal, the form ω^{reg} gives rise to the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{O}_X$ so X becomes a Poisson algebraic variety.

Let $\widehat{X}$ be a resolution of singularities for X. We say that X *has symplectic singularities* if ω^{reg} extends to a regular form on $\widehat{X}$. In fact, this is independent of the choice of $\widehat{X}$. Below in this section, we will assume that $X := \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ has symplectic singularities.

Symplectic quotient singularities V/Γ provide an example of symplectic singularities, [Be]. Another classical example arises as follows. We take a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Then $X := \mathcal{N}$ has symplectic singularities (for $\widehat{X}$ we can take the Springer resolution $T^*(G/B)$).

Namikawa has constructed a "Cartan space" $\mathfrak{P}(= \mathfrak{P}_X)$ and a "Weyl group" $W(= W_X)$ for X. The latter acts on the former as a crystallographic reflection group. In the case of the nilpotent cone, we recover the Cartan subalgebra and the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The main result of [N4] can be stated as follows: the filtered Poisson deformations are canonically indexed by the points of the quotient $\mathfrak{P}/W$. In this paper, we will show that,

under some minor technical assumptions on A (that hold in all examples we know), the filtered quantizations of A are indexed by the points of the same quotient.

Let us explain how the quantizations are constructed in the general case. The variety X admits distinguished partial resolutions called $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial terminalizations*. The filtered Poisson deformations/quantizations of X are produced by taking global sections of filtered Poisson deformations/quantizations of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$, where $\tilde{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of X.

In the case when $X = \mathcal{N}$ our result says that all filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}]$ are obtained as the central reductions of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

In the case of $A = \mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ filtered deformations of A were constructed algebraically by Etingof and Ginzburg, [EG], as spherical subalgebras $eH_{t,c}e$ in Symplectic reflection algebras $H_{t,c}$, where $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and c is a vector in the space of dimension $\dim \mathfrak{P}$. The algebra $eH_{t,c}e$ is a filtered Poisson deformation of $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ when $t = 0$, and is a filtered quantization when $t = 1$. The results of Namikawa and the author described above show that any filtered Poisson deformation (resp., quantization) of $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ is $eH_{0,c}e$ (resp., $eH_{1,c}e$) for some c. We expect that a connection to deformations of $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations will prove to be an important tool to study the symplectic reflection algebras.

1.3. **Orbit method.** One of the general principles of Lie representation theory is that interesting irreducible representations of Lie groups or Lie algebras should have to do with the orbits of the coadjoint representation of the corresponding group. The most famous manifestation of this principle is Kirillov's orbit method, [Ki], that describes irreducible unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups. Namely, let G be a nilpotent Lie group. Kirillov has constructed a natural bijection between $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$, the set of coadjoint G-orbits, and the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible unitary representations of G.

An algebraic version of this result was found by Dixmier, [D1], see also [D2, Section 6]. Namely, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Recall that by a *primitive ideal* in an associative algebra one means the annihilator of a simple module. One of the points of considering primitive ideals is that, while the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations is huge and wild for almost all $\mathfrak{g}$, the set of primitive ideals has reasonable size and it is often possible to describe it. In *loc.cit.*, Dixmier has proved that the set $\mathrm{Prim}(\mathfrak{g})$ of primitive ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is in a natural bijection with $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$.

For a long time, there was, and still is, a question of how to adopt the Orbit method to semisimple Lie groups or algebras that are, obviously, far more interesting than nilpotent ones. In this paper, we study the algebraic version and seek to find an analog of Dixmier result. The classification of primitive ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is known, thanks to the work of Barbasch, Joseph, Lusztig, Vogan and others, see [CM, Section 10] for a review, but a connection with adjoint orbits is very subtle and indirect. The algebraic version of the Orbit method was studied previously, for example, in [V, McG].

To explain our result, we need a notion of *Dixmier algebra* (due to Vogan, [V]). Let G be a semisimple algebraic group, $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. By a Dixmier algebra we mean an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a rational action of G together with a quantum comoment map $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is finitely generated as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module. In Theorem 5.3, we will produce a natural embedding, $\mathbb{O}_1 \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$, of $\mathfrak{g}/G$ into the set of isomorphism classes of Dixmier algebras. All algebras occurring in the image are *completely prime*, i.e., have no zero divisors.

For the classical Lie algebras, we can get a stronger result. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$ denote the kernel of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$. In Theorem 5.3, we will see that the map $\mathbb{O}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$ is injective provided $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical (we also expect this to be the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is exceptional).

1.4. **Birational induction.** Let us elaborate on how the embedding of $\mathfrak{g}/G$ into the set of completely prime Dixmier algebras is constructed. We will identify $\mathfrak{g}/G$ with the set of equivalence classes of *birational induction data*, to be defined now.

Namely, take a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, a nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}' \subset \mathfrak{l}$, and $\xi \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. Following Lusztig and Spaltenstein, [LS], we include $\mathfrak{l}$ into a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}$ and consider the generalized Springer map $G \times_P (\xi + \overline{\mathbb{O}}' + \mathfrak{n}) \to \mathfrak{g}$, where we view $\xi + \overline{\mathbb{O}}' + \mathfrak{n}$ as a closed subvariety in $\mathfrak{p}$, this variety is P-stable. The image of the map is the closure of a single G-orbit, $\mathbb{O}_\xi$, that is said to be *induced* from the *induction data* $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$. So we get a surjective map $\pi : G \times_P (\xi + \mathbb{O}' + \mathfrak{n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{O}}_\xi$. One can show that this map is generically finite. When it is generically injective, i.e., birational, we will say that $\mathbb{O}_\xi$ is *birationally induced* from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$. We say that $\mathbb{O}'$ is *birationally rigid* if it cannot be birationally induced from a proper Levi. If π is birational and $\mathbb{O}'$ is birationally rigid, then we say that $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$ is a *birational induction data*. The group G acts naturally on the set of (birational) induction data and one can show that the map $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi) \mapsto \mathbb{O}_\xi$ is a bijection between the set of G-equivalence classes of the birational induction data and the set $\mathfrak{g}/G$ of adjoint orbits.

Now pick an adjoint orbit $\mathbb{O}_1$ and let $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$ be the corresponding birational induction data. Let G/H denote the open G-orbit in $G \times_P (\mathbb{O}' + \mathfrak{n})$. This is a finite cover of some nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}$ and hence a symplectic variety. Moreover, $X := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[G/H])$ has symplectic singularities and $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. We let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$ to be the quantization of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ corresponding to the parameter ξ. This is a Dixmier algebra of interest.

1.5. **Content of the paper.** Let us describe the content of this paper.

In Section 2 we discuss symplectic singularities, their $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations and their deformations. In Section 2.1 we introduce the notion of a symplectic singularity following Beauville, give some examples and discuss the $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations. In Section 2.2 we recall the notion of a filtered deformation of a non-necessarily affine Poisson variety and the classification of such deformations in a symplectic case (under some vanishing conditions) that is essentially due to Kaledin and Verbitsky, [KV]. In Section 2.3 we apply this to study the filtered Poisson deformations of $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations and induced deformations of the conical symplectic singularities. In Section 2.4 we recall results of Namikawa, [N5, N4], on the universal conical Poisson deformation of a conical symplectic singularity. The only new results in Section 2 are contained in Section 2.5, where we show that under some very mild conditions, the algebra of functions on a conical symplectic singularity has no Poisson derivations of negative degree.

In Section 3 we study quantizations of conical symplectic singularities. We start, Section 3.1, by recalling the general definition of a filtered quantization and classification results in the symplectic case obtained in [BeKa, Lo5]. In Section 3.2 we produce quantizations of a conical symplectic singularity starting from those of its $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalization following [BPW]. Also in that section we state the main classification result, Theorem 3.4. This theorem is then proved in the three subsequent sections. Then, in Section 3.6, we study the question of when filtered Poisson deformations (resp., quantizations) are isomorphic as filtered Poisson (resp., associative) algebras. Finally, in Section 3.7 we treat the case of

symplectic quotient singularities and show that in this case all quantizations are spherical Symplectic reflection algebras of Etingof and Ginzburg.

In Section 4 we study various questions related to the geometry of adjoint orbits. In Section 4.1 we recall the classical Lusztig-Spaltenstein induction and introduce the notions of birational induction and birationally rigid orbits. In Section 4.2 we recall sheets in semisimple Lie algebras, introduce a related notion of *birational sheets* and state a result, Theorem 4.3, describing their structure. In Section 4.3 we study $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations of normalizations of nilpotent orbit closures giving conceptual proofs of results previously obtained by Namikawa, [N3], and Fu, [F]. Then we compute Weyl groups of these normalizations and their suitable covers, Section 4.4, generalizing results of Namikawa, [N4]. We use results of Sections 4.3 and 4.4 to prove Theorem 4.3 in Section 4.5.

In Section 5 we establish our version of the orbit method. We start by recalling results and constructions related to W-algebras. In Section 5.1 we present their construction following [Lo1, Lo2]. Then in Section 5.2 we recall the restriction functor for Harish-Chandra bimodules from [Lo2] and its basic properties. Section 5.3 is the main part, there we state and prove Theorem 5.3 that provides a map $\mathfrak{g}/G \to \mathrm{Prim}(\mathfrak{g})$. We discuss the image of this map in Section 5.4.

Acknowledgements. This paper would have never appeared without help from Pavel Etingof and Dmitry Kaledin. I would like to thank them as well as Yoshinori Namikawa, Sasha Premet, and David Vogan for stimulating discussions. I am very happy to dedicate the paper to Sasha Premet on his 60th birthday, this paper, as well as much of my other work, is inspired by his fascinating results. The paper was partially supported by the NSF under grants DMS-1161584, DMS-1501558.

2. Symplectic singularities and their deformations

2.1. **Symplectic singularities and $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations.** Let X be a normal Poisson algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ such that the smooth locus X^{reg} is a symplectic variety. Let ω^{reg} denote the symplectic form on X^{reg}. We say that X has *symplectic singularities* if there is a projective resolution of singularities $\rho : \widehat{X} \to X$ such $\rho^*(\omega^{reg})$ extends to a regular (but not necessarily symplectic) 2-form on $\widehat{X}$. Once such $\widehat{X}$ exists, for any other projective resolution $\rho_1 : \widehat{X}_1 \to X$, the form $\rho_1^*(\omega^{reg})$ also extends to a regular 2-form.

Now let X be an affine Poisson variety. We say that X is *conical* if there is an algebra grading $\mathbb{C}[X] = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[X]_i$ and a positive integer d such that

- $\mathbb{C}[X]_i = \{0\}$ for $i < 0$ and $\mathbb{C}[X]_0 = \mathbb{C}$.
- $\{f, g\} \in \mathbb{C}[X]_{i+j-d}$ for any i, j and $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]_i, g \in \mathbb{C}[X]_j$.

Let us give two classical examples of conical symplectic singularities.

Example 2.1. Let V be a symplectic vector space and $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ be a finite subgroup. Then V/Γ is a conical symplectic singularity with $d = 2$.

Example 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra. It is a Poisson algebraic variety whose symplectic leaves are adjoint orbits. Let $\mathbb{O}$ be a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}]$ is finitely generated, in fact, it is a normalization of $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}]$. The variety $X := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}])$ is a conical symplectic singularity with $d = 1$.

We will need the definition and some properties of $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations. Let $\tilde{X}$ be a normal algebraic variety. Recall that $\tilde{X}$ is called $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial* if for any Weil divisor its nonzero integral multiple is Cartier.

The following is a consequence of results of [BCHM], see also [N5, Section 1].

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a symplectic singularity. Then there is a birational projective morphism $\rho : \tilde{X} \to X$, where $\tilde{X}$ has the following properties:*

(a) *$\tilde{X}$ is an irreducible, normal, Poisson variety (and hence has symplectic singularities).*
(b) *$\tilde{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*
(c) *$\tilde{X}$ has terminal singularities.*

If X is, in addition, conical, then $\tilde{X}$ admits a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action such that ρ is $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant.

By the work of Namikawa, [N1], modulo (a), (c) is equivalent to $\operatorname{codim}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{X}^{reg} \geqslant 4$. Below we will say that $\tilde{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial terminalization* (or $\mathbb{Q}$-*terminalization*) of X.

Example 2.4. Consider the situation of Example 2.1. Suppose, first, that Γ does not contain *symplectic reflections*, i.e., elements γ with $\operatorname{rk}(\gamma - \mathrm{id}) = 2$. Then X itself satisfies properties (a)-(c). An opposite extreme is when X has a symplectic resolution of singularities. This happens, for example, when Γ is a so called *wreath-product* group $\Gamma = S_n \ltimes \Gamma_1^n$, where Γ_1 is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

Terminalizations of the varieties $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}])$ will be considered in more detail in Section 4.3.

To finish let us provide an important property of a terminalization $\tilde{X}$ obtained by Namikawa, see the proof of [N2, Lemma 12].

Proposition 2.5. *If X is affine, then $H^i(\tilde{X}^{reg}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

2.2. **Filtered Poisson deformations and period map.** Let X' be a Poisson variety equipped with a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action such that the Poisson bracket has degree $-d$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and the following property holds:

($\heartsuit$) X' can be covered by $\mathbb{C}^\times$-stable open affine subschemes.

We note that ($\heartsuit$) holds when X' is quasi-projective or normal.

We are going to recall the notion of a filtered Poisson deformation of X'. First, let us introduce the conical topology on X'. By an open subset in this topology we mean a Zariski open and $\mathbb{C}^\times$-stable subset, so that ($\heartsuit$) means that every point has an affine neighborhood. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ becomes a sheaf of graded algebras in the conical topology. By a filtered Poisson deformation $\mathcal{D}^0$ of X' we mean a sheaf of filtered Poisson algebras in the conical topology on X', where the filtration is complete and separated, together with an isomorphism $\iota : \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ of graded Poisson algebras.

We say that deformations $(\mathcal{D}_1^0, \iota_1)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_2^0, \iota_2)$ are isomorphic if there is a filtered Poisson homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{D}_1^0 \to \mathcal{D}_2^0$ such that $\iota_1 = \iota_2 \circ \operatorname{gr} \psi$.

In the case when X' is affine to give a filtered Poisson deformation of X' is equivalent to giving a filtered Poisson deformation of the graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[X']$.

Now suppose X' is smooth and symplectic. In this case a filtered deformation $\mathcal{D}$ defines a class in $H^2(X', \mathbb{C})$ to be called *period*, compare with [KV], where the formal deformations are considered. Namely, $\mathcal{D}$ defines a symplectic scheme $\overline{X}'$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with an action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ whose fiber $\overline{X}'_1$ over 1 is glued from $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{D}(X'_i))$, where $X' = \bigcup_i X'_i$ is an open affine cover, and the fiber over 0 is X'. The second cohomology of all fibers are identified via the Gauss-Manin connection and for the period of $\mathcal{D}$ we take the class of the symplectic form of $\overline{X}'_1$.

The following important result is essentially due to Kaledin and Verbitsky, [KV] (with ramifications similar to [Lo5, Section 2]).

Proposition 2.6. *Assume, in addition, that $H^i(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then taking the period defines a bijection between the isomorphism classes of filtered Poisson deformations and $H^2(X', \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, there is a universal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant deformation $X'_\mathfrak{P}$ over $\mathfrak{P} := H^2(X', \mathbb{C})$ with the following properties:*

- *The fiber over 0 is X'.*
- *$X'_\mathfrak{P}$ is a symplectic scheme over $\mathfrak{P}$ and there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action on $X'_\mathfrak{P}$ extending the action on X' such that the morphism $X'_\mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P}$ is equivariant, where the action on $\mathfrak{P}$ is given by $t.\lambda := t^{-d}\lambda$, and the form on $X'_\mathfrak{P}$ has degree d.*
- *The filtered deformation corresponding to $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{X'_\lambda}$, where X'_λ stands for fiber of $X'_\mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P}$ over $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}$.*

We will be interested in the situation when our variety, X, is a conical symplectic singularity. It turns out that it is easy to classify deformations of a $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalization $\tilde{X}$.

Corollary 2.7. *The filtered Poisson deformations of $\tilde{X}$ are classified by $H^2(\tilde{X}^{reg}, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let $i : \tilde{X}^{reg} \to \tilde{X}$ be the inclusion. Arguing as in [BPW, Proposition 3.4], we see that the functors i_* (sheaf-theoretic push-forward) and i^{-1} (sheaf-theoretic pull-back) define a bijection between the isomorphism classes of filtered Poisson deformations of $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{X}^{reg}$. Now our claim follows from Proposition 2.6 combined with Proposition 2.5. □

2.3. **Deformations from $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations.** We are going to use filtered Poisson deformations of $\tilde{X}$ to construct those of X. We set $\mathfrak{P} := H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C})$ and let $\tilde{X}_\mathfrak{P}$ to denote the universal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant Poisson deformation of $\tilde{X}$ that is obtained from $(\tilde{X}^{reg})_\mathfrak{P}$ as follows: let j, j_{reg} denote the inclusions $\tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}_\mathfrak{P}, \tilde{X}^{reg} \hookrightarrow (\tilde{X}^{reg})_\mathfrak{P}$, then

$$j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_\mathfrak{P}}) = i_*(j_{reg}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{(\tilde{X}^{reg})_\mathfrak{P}}).$$

First, we are going to study the structure of the space $\mathfrak{P}$ following [N5].

Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_k$ be the codimension 2 symplectic leaves of X. The formal slice Σ'_i to $\mathcal{L}_i$ in X is an ADE type Kleinian singularity $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\wedge_0}/\Gamma_i$ (here $\bullet^{\wedge_0}$ stands for the formal neighborhood at 0) so we can consider the corresponding Weyl group $\widehat{W}_i, i = 1, \dots, k$. We write Σ_i for $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_i$, $\tilde{\Sigma}_i$ for its minimal resolution and set $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i := H^2(\tilde{\Sigma}_i, \mathbb{C})$.

The fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$ acts on $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i, \widehat{W}_i$ by diagram automorphisms. Let $\mathfrak{P}_i \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i, W_i \subset \widehat{W}_i$ denote the fixed points. Following Namikawa, define the Namikawa-Weyl group as $W(= W_X) := \prod_{i=1}^k W_i$.

Lemma 2.8. *We have $\mathfrak{P} = H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{P}_i$.*

Proof. Let X^1 denote the complement in X of all symplectic leaves with codimension 4 or higher. So $X^1 = X^{reg} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}_i$. According to [N5, Proposition 4.2], $\mathfrak{P} = H^2(X^1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{P}_i$. What we need to do therefore is to show that $H^2(X^1, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C})$.

Pick tubular neighborhoods $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$ of $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_k$ and set $Y_i^\times := Y_i \setminus \mathcal{L}_i$. So topologically Y_i is a locally trivial fibration over $\mathcal{L}_i$ with fiber D/Γ_i, where D is an open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$, see [N5, (1.3)]. So $H^j(Y_i, \mathbb{C}) = H^j(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathbb{C})$ for all j. Also note that Γ_i acts freely on $D^\times$. It follows that $H^j(D^\times/\Gamma_i, \mathbb{C}) = H^j(\mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{C})^{\Gamma_i}$, in particular, this homology group is zero when $j = 1, 2$. Using the Meyer-Vietoris exact sequence for the

covering $X^1 = X^{reg} \cup (\bigsqcup Y_i)$, we see that the pull-back $H^2(X^1, \mathbb{C}) \to H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism. □

Let us proceed to producing deformations of X from those of $\tilde{X}$.

Proposition 2.9. *The following is true:*

(1) *The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}}]$ is finitely generated and free as a module over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]$. Moreover, we have $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}}]/(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathbb{C}[X]$.*

(2) *The group W acts on $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}}]$ by graded Poisson algebra automorphisms and the action is compatible with that on $\mathfrak{P}$.*

Proof. Part (1) of the following proposition follows from $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}]$ and $H^i(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Part (2) is due to Namikawa, [N4]. □

Let us write $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}}])$ and X_λ for the fiber of $X_{\mathfrak{P}} \to \mathfrak{P}$ over λ.

Now let us examine the situation when $X_\lambda, X_{\lambda'}$ give isomorphic filtered Poisson deformations.

Proposition 2.10. *We have $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}]$ as filtered Poisson deformations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ if and only if $\lambda' \in W\lambda$.*

This is proved in [N4]. We would like to give a proof as similar arguments will appear below in this paper.

Proof. The proof is in several steps. Recall that $\mathfrak{P} = H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{P}_i$.

Step 1. Let λ_0, λ_0' denote the projections of λ, λ' to $H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C})$. Due to the naturality of the period map, λ_0, λ_0' are the periods of the filtered deformations of X^{reg} induced by $X_\lambda, X_{\lambda'}$. So $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0'$.

Now let λ_i, λ_i' denote the projections of λ, λ' to $\mathfrak{P}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. It remains to check that $\lambda_i' \in W_i\lambda_i$.

Step 2. Consider the Rees algebras $R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda]), R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}])$. The isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}]$ induces the graded $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$-algebra isomorphism $R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda]) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}])$ that is the identity modulo $\hbar$.

Pick $x \in \mathcal{L}_i$ and consider the completions $R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda])^{\wedge_x}, R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}])^{\wedge_x}$. These are $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebras that come equipped with the Euler derivations induced by the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-actions on the Rees algebras. So we get the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra isomorphism $R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda])^{\wedge_x} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}])^{\wedge_x}$. Note that $R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda])^{\wedge_x}$ splits as the tensor product of $\mathbb{C}[[T_x\mathcal{L}_i]]$ (with symplectic Poisson bracket) and some other Poisson $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra $A_\hbar$ that is a formal deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma_i]^{\wedge_0}$, compare with [Lo6, Section 2.1]. By the construction, $A_\hbar$ is the algebra of regular functions on the formal neighborhood of $\rho^{-1}(x)$ in the deformation $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} = \mathbb{C}\lambda \times_{\mathfrak{P}} \tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Let $\underline{X}_{\lambda_i}$ denote the filtered deformation of Σ_i corresponding to λ_i. We see that $A_\hbar \xrightarrow{\sim} R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[\underline{X}_{\lambda_i}])^{\wedge_0}$, an isomorphism of formal Poisson deformations of $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma_i]^{\wedge_0}$.

Step 3. So we get an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[[T_x\mathcal{L}_i]]\widehat{\otimes}R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[\underline{X}_{\lambda_i}])^{\wedge_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[[T_x\mathcal{L}_i]]\widehat{\otimes}R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[\underline{X}_{\lambda_i'}])^{\wedge_0}$$

of formal Poisson deformations of $\mathbb{C}[[T_x\mathcal{L}_i]]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}[\Sigma_i]^{\wedge_0}$ (here we write $\widehat{\otimes}$ for the completed tensor product). Arguing as in Steps 2 and 3 of the proof of [Lo5, Proposition 6.6.1], we see that we have a graded Poisson algebra isomorphism $R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[\underline{X}_{\lambda_i}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_\hbar(\mathbb{C}[\underline{X}_{\lambda_i'}])$ that is the identity modulo $\hbar$. Therefore $\mathbb{C}[\underline{X}_{\lambda_i}], \mathbb{C}[\underline{X}_{\lambda_i'}]$ are isomorphic filtered Poisson deformations of $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma_i]$. We conclude that λ_i and λ_i' are $\widehat{W}_i$-conjugate.

Step 4. It remains to show that λ_i, λ_i' are W_i-conjugate. Pick dominant weights $\varpi_j, j \in J$, for $\widehat{W}_i$ and let C denote the Weyl chamber for $\widehat{W}_i$ spanned by the weights ϖ_j. Let Ξ be a group of diagram automorphisms of $\widehat{W}_i$, in particular, it acts on J. Then $C^\Xi = C \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i^\Xi$ is spanned by $\sum_{k\in\Xi j} \varpi_j$. From here it is easy to see that two $\widehat{W}_i$-conjugate elements in $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i^\Xi$ are actually $\widehat{W}_i^\Xi$-conjugate. Applying this to $\Xi = \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$, we get the claim in the beginning of the paragraph. □

Remark 2.11. The proof can be interpreted as follows. We can write a deformation parameter λ as $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, where λ_0 controls the deformation of X^{reg}, while λ_i controls the deformation of $\Sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, k$.

2.4. **Universal deformation of X.** In [N5], Namikawa has produced a universal conical Poisson deformation $\mathfrak{X}$ of X. More precisely, he proved the following.

Proposition 2.12. *There is a positively graded polynomial algebra B and a graded Poisson B-algebra A such that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_B A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X]$ with the following universal property:*

- *For any finitely generated positively graded algebra B' and a graded Poisson B'-algebra A' such that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{B'} A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X]$ there is a unique graded algebra homomorphism $B \to B'$ and a unique B'-linear Poisson graded algebra homomorphism $B' \otimes_B A \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$ intertwining the isomorphisms $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{B'} A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C} \otimes_B A$.*

The following corollary is the main result of [N4] and the most important result explained in this section.

Corollary 2.13. *We have $B = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$ and $A = \mathbb{C}[X_\mathfrak{P}]^W$.*

Let us provide a proof for reader's convenience.

Proof. We can take $B' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W, A' = \mathbb{C}[X_\mathfrak{P}]^W$. We get a unique algebra homomorphism $B \to \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$ that gives rise to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant morphism $\mathfrak{P}/W \to \mathrm{Spec}(B)$. Namikawa has proved in [N5, Theorem 5.5] that this morphism is surjective and finite. To prove that it is an isomorphism we need to check that it is injective. This follows from Proposition 2.10. □

2.5. **Poisson derivations.** Below we will need to understand the Poisson derivations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ that have negative degree. Here is the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.14. *Let, as before, X be a conical symplectic singularity. Assume, in addition, that $\mathbb{C}[X]_i = 0$ for $0 < i < d$. Then there are no nonzero Poisson derivations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ that are homogeneous of negative degree.*

Note that the condition on the grading holds in both examples we have considered above (where for the quotient singularity we need to assume that $V^\Gamma = \{0\}$).

The crucial step in the proof is the following lemma (that is classical for symplectic resolutions) whose proof in the generality we need was communicated to me by Kaledin.

Lemma 2.15. *We have $H^1(\tilde{X}^{reg}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \overline{X} \to \tilde{X}$ be a resolution of singularities. By [K, Corollary 1.5], we have $R^1(\rho \circ \pi)_* \mathbb{C}_{\overline{X}} = 0$ and $R^1\pi_* \mathbb{C}_{\overline{X}} = 0$. Since, obviously, $\pi_* \mathbb{C}_{\overline{X}} = \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}$, we use the standard spectral sequence for the composition of derived functors to check that $R^1\rho_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$. Since X is conical (and hence contractible), this implies that $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$. Now we

use that $\dim \tilde{X}^{sing} \leqslant \dim \tilde{X} - 4$ to conclude that $H^1(\tilde{X}^{reg}, \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ that is the equality we need. □

Proof of Proposition 2.14. By the condition on the grading, we see that we do not have Hamiltonian derivations of negative degree. It remains to show that all Poisson derivations are Hamiltonian. For this, we will show that all Poisson vector fields on X lift to $\tilde{X}^{reg}$, then our claim will follow from Lemma 2.15. So let d be a Poisson vector field on X, equivalently, on $\pi^{-1}(X^{reg}) \subset \tilde{X}^{reg}$. The morphism $\tilde{X}^{reg} \to X$ is Poisson, hence semismall. So what we need to show is that d extends to a regular vector field on $\pi^{-1}(X^{\wedge_x})$, where x is a point in a codimension 2 leaf in X. This in turn will follow if we check that the restriction of d to $X^{\wedge_x}$ is Hamiltonian. But $X^{\wedge_x}$ is a symplectic quotient singularity. Note that any Poisson vector field on $(V/\Gamma)^{\wedge_0}$ lifts to a Γ-invariant Poisson vector field on $V^{\wedge_0}$. It follows that any Poisson vector field on $(V/\Gamma)^{\wedge_0}$ and hence on $X^{\wedge_x}$ is Hamiltonian. This completes the proof. □

3. Quantizations of symplectic singularities

3.1. **Quantizations and period maps.** This section is a quantum counterpart of Section 2.2.

Let A be a graded Poisson algebra with bracket of degree $-d$, where d is a positive integer. By a *filtered quantization* one means a filtered associative algebra $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i \geqslant 0} \mathcal{A}_{\leqslant i}$ such that $[\mathcal{A}_{\leqslant i}, \mathcal{A}_{\leqslant j}] \subset \mathcal{A}_{\leqslant i+j-d}$ together with a graded Poisson algebra isomorphism $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$. By an isomorphism ψ of filtered quantizations $(\mathcal{A}, \iota), (\mathcal{A}', \iota')$ we mean a filtration preserving algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that $\operatorname{gr} \psi$ intertwines ι, ι'.

Our goal is to classify the filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$, where X is a conical symplectic singularity. As with filtered Poisson deformations, we are going to produce quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ from those of $\tilde{X}$.

Let X' denote a Poisson scheme satisfying condition ($\heartsuit$) from Section 2.2. By a quantization $\mathcal{D}$ of X' we mean a sheaf of filtered associative algebras in the conical topology on X', where the filtration is complete and separated, together with an isomorphism $\iota : \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ of graded Poisson algebras.

Now suppose X' is smooth and symplectic. In this case a filtered quantization $\mathcal{D}$ defines a class in $H^2(X', \mathbb{C})$ to be called *period*, see [BeKa, Section 4], where the case of formal quantizations was considered, and [Lo5, Section 2.3] that treats filtered quantizations like in the previous paragraph. The following proposition should be thought as a quantum version of Proposition 2.6. It is proved in [Lo5, Section 2.3] based on results from [BeKa].

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that X' is symplectic and $H^i(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then taking the period defines a bijection between the isomorphism classes of filtered quantizations and $\mathfrak{P} := H^2(X', \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, there is a universal quantization $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (that is a sheaf of filtered associative $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]$-algebras over the universal Poisson deformation $X'_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of X with $\mathfrak{P}$ in degree d) such that the quantization $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ corresponding to λ is obtained by specializing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ to λ.*

Now consider the variety $\tilde{X}$ and set $\mathfrak{P} := H^2(\tilde{X}^{reg}, \mathbb{C})$. Similarly to Corollary 2.7, we have the following.

Corollary 3.2. *The filtered quantizations of $\tilde{X}$ are classified by $H^2(\tilde{X}^{reg}, \mathbb{C})$.*

3.2. **Quantizations from $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations.** Now we will produce some filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ following [BPW, Section 3] and state our main classification result.

Set $\mathcal{A}_\lambda := \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_\lambda), \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{P} := \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{P})$, where $\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{P}$ were introduced in the previous section. The following is a quantum version of Proposition 2.9.

Proposition 3.3. *The following is true:*

1. *The algebras $\mathcal{A}_\lambda, \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{P}$ are filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[X], \mathbb{C}[X_\mathfrak{P}]$, respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ is the specialization of $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{P}$ to λ.*
2. *The group W acts on $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{P}$ by filtered algebra automorphisms so that on $\mathbb{C}[X_\mathfrak{P}]$ we get the action from Proposition 2.9. Moreover, the actions of W on $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{P}$ and on $\mathfrak{P}$ are compatible.*

Proof. The first part is again a standard corollary of $H^i(\tilde{X}^{reg}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0, i = 1, 2$, and $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}^{reg}] = \mathbb{C}[X]$. The second part is proved in the same way as [BPW, Proposition 3.10]. □

The following is one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 3.4. *Any filtered quantization of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ for some λ. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \cong \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}$ if and only if $\lambda' \in W\lambda$.*

In fact, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{P}^W$ enjoys a universal property similar to that of $\mathbb{C}[X_\mathfrak{P}]^W$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let B' be a finitely generated commutative positively graded algebra and A' be a graded Poisson B'-algebra such that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{B'} A' = \mathbb{C}[X]$. Further, let $\mathcal{A}'$ be a B'-algebra that is a filtered quantization of A'. Then there is a unique filtered algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W \to B'$ with the following properties:*

1. *The associated graded of this homomorphism comes from the universality property of $\mathbb{C}[X_\mathfrak{P}]^W/\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$.*
2. *We have a unique B'-linear isomorphism $B' \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W} \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{P}^W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}'$ of filtered quantizations of A'.*

Note that Theorem 3.4 is a corollary of Proposition 3.5.

3.3. **Scheme Y.** We start by constructing a finite type affine scheme Y over $\mathbb{C}$ together with an action of $\mathbb{C}^\times \ltimes U$, where U is a unipotent group, with the following property:

(♠) The morphism $Y \to \mathbb{C}$ is U-invariant and $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant, $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ by multiplication by t^{-d}. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}[Y], \mathbb{C}[U]$ are positively graded with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action.

This scheme will, in a sense, parameterize deformations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ compatible with the Poisson bracket.

By a *deformation data* on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ we mean a pair $*, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ of $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear maps $\mathbb{C}[X]\otimes\mathbb{C}[X] \to \mathbb{C}[X]$ satisfying the following condition:

(i) $*$ is an associative product such that $1 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ is a unit and $f * g - fg \in \bigoplus_{k<i+j} \mathbb{C}[X]_k$ for any i, j and $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]_i, g \in \mathbb{C}[X]_j$.
(ii) $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a Lie bracket on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $\langle f, g\rangle - \{f, g\} \in \bigoplus_{k<i+j-d} \mathbb{C}[X]_k$ for i, j, f, g as above.
(iii) There is a complex number z such that $f * g - g * f = z\langle f, g\rangle$.
(iv) We have $\langle f*g, h\rangle = f*\langle g, h\rangle + \langle f, h\rangle * g$ for all $f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ (the Leibniz identity).

Clearly, if $z=0$, then $*$ is a commutative product and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a Poisson bracket so that $(\mathbb{C}[X],*,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ defines a filtered Poisson deformation of $\mathbb{C}[X]$. If, on the other hand, $z=1$, then $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is recovered from $*$, and $(\mathbb{C}[X],*)$ is a filtered quantization of $\mathbb{C}[X]$.

By an isomorphism of deformation data $(*,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle),(*',\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)'$ we mean a linear map $\varphi:\mathbb{C}[X]\to\mathbb{C}[X]$ with the following properties:

(I) $\varphi(f)-f\in\bigoplus_{k<i}\mathbb{C}[X]_i$ for $f\in\mathbb{C}[X]_i$.
(II) φ intertwines $*$ with $*'$, as well as $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle'$.

Clearly, isomorphic deformation data correspond to isomorphic filtered Poisson deformations (for $z=0$) and quantizations (for $z=1$).

Let us construct Y. For $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, set $V_{\leqslant n}:=\bigoplus_{i=0}^n\mathbb{C}[X]_i$. Let $f_1,\dots,f_k$ be a minimal set of homogeneous generators of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and let m be the maximum of the degrees of the generators f_i. Further, let $G_1,\dots,G_\ell$ be a minimal set of homogeneous (with respect to the grading on $\mathbb{C}[X]$) relations between the generators $f_1,\dots,f_k$. Let e be the maximum of the degrees of $G_1,\dots,G_\ell$ with respect to $f_1,\dots,f_k$. Set $T:=\operatorname{Hom}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^e V_{\leqslant m}^{\otimes i},V_{\leqslant me})\oplus\operatorname{Hom}(V_{\leqslant m}^{\otimes 2},V_{\leqslant 2m-d})$. A deformation pair defines an element (α,β) of T, where α comes from the iterated product $*$ restricted to $V_{\leqslant m}$ and β comes from the bracket $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ restricted to $V_{\leqslant m}$.

We realize Y as a closed subscheme in T. First, note that conditions (i)-(iv) give polynomial equations on T. Let $\tilde{Y}$ denote the subscheme defined by these polynomials.

Also for a commutative algebra R and an algebra homomorphism $(\alpha,\beta):\mathbb{C}[\tilde{Y}]\to R$ we can consider the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha=R\otimes T(V)/\ker\alpha$. This is a filtered algebra (with R in degree 0) that comes together with a natural epimorphism $R\otimes\mathbb{C}[X]\twoheadrightarrow\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ of graded algebras. The condition that this epimorphism is an isomorphism gives polynomial equations on α. Define Y as the subscheme of $\tilde{Y}$ defined by these equations. Note that z in (iv) may be regarded as an element of $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{Y}]$ and hence as an element of $\mathbb{C}[Y]$.

Let us now define the required group actions on Y. For U we take the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V_{\leqslant me})$ consisting of all $\Phi:V_{\leqslant me}\to V_{\leqslant me}$ with $\deg\Phi(f)-f<\deg f$ for all $f\in V_{\leqslant me}$. We have an induced action on T that preserves the defining ideal of Y as well as z.

Also define an action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on $V_{\leqslant me}$ by $t.f:=t^{-\deg f}f$ for a homogeneous element $f\in V_{\leqslant me}$. Then $\mathbb{C}^\times$ normalizes $U\subset\mathrm{GL}(\tilde{V})$ and also preserves the ideal of Y. Note that $\mathbb{C}[Y],\mathbb{C}[U]$ are positively graded and $z\in\mathbb{C}[Y]$ has degree d.

We conclude that property (♠) above holds.

3.4. **Algebra $\mathcal{A}_Y$.** Recall that we have an associative algebra $\mathcal{A}_B$ for any $\mathbb{C}[Y]$-algebra B, in particular, for $B=\mathbb{C}[Y]$. The algebra corresponding to $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_Y$. Note that this algebra is graded and comes with an action of U_Y, the trivial group scheme over Y with fiber U, by $\mathbb{C}[Y]$-algebra automorphisms. We can view U_Y as the group of $\mathbb{C}[Y]$-linear automorphisms φ of $\mathbb{C}[Y]\otimes V_{\leqslant me}$ such that for any $f\in\mathbb{C}[X]_i$ we have $\varphi(f)-f\in\mathbb{C}[Y]\otimes V_{\leqslant i-1}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_Y$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$-filtration with $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ in degree 0 such that $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A}_Y\cong\mathbb{C}[Y]\otimes\mathbb{C}[X]$ as a graded Poisson algebra (the Poisson bracket on $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A}_Y$ comes from $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$).

We are going to establish a universal property of $\mathcal{A}_Y$. Let B be a commutative algebra and let $\mathcal{A}_B$ be a filtered B-algebra with

- B in degree 0,
- a B-linear bracket $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ satisfying (iii) and (iv) for some $z\in B$,
- an isomorphism $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A}_B\cong B\otimes\mathbb{C}[X]$ of graded Poisson algebras.

By a *generating map* we mean a filtered B-module map $B \otimes V_{\leqslant me} \to \mathcal{A}_B$ that becomes the inclusion $B \otimes V_{\leqslant me} \to B \otimes \mathbb{C}[X]$ after passing to associated graded. Note that any generating map is injective and the image generates $\mathcal{A}_B$ (hence the name). Also note that the $\mathbb{C}[Y]$-algebra $\mathcal{A}_Y$ comes equipped with a canonical generating map, by the definition of $\mathcal{A}_Y$.

The following is a universal property of $\mathcal{A}_Y$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}_B$ be as above. Then the following holds.*

1. *Generating maps $B \otimes V_{\leqslant me}$ form a torsor over the group scheme $U_{\operatorname{Spec}(B)}$ (defined similarly to U_Y).*
2. *There is a unique algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[Y] \to B$ and a unique filtered B-algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_B \cong B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathcal{A}_Y$ that intertwines the brackets, the generating maps, and the isomorphisms between $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{A}_B, \operatorname{gr} B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathcal{A}_Y$ with $B \otimes \mathbb{C}[X]$.*

Proof. The first statement is straightforward. Let us prove the second.

We start by producing an algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[Y] \to B$. The generating map together with the product and the bracket produce an element in $B \otimes T$ by restriction. This element satisfies the polynomial conditions defining Y and so corresponds to an algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[Y] \to B$. Consider the corresponding algebra $B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathcal{A}_Y$. It comes with the generating map that produces the same pair (α, β) as the generating map $B \otimes V_{\leqslant me} \to \mathcal{A}_B$. It follows that there is an isomorphism $B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathcal{A}_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_B$ that intertwines the embeddings of $B \otimes V_{\leqslant m}$ coming from the generating map. Therefore the isomorphism has the required properties.

What remains to show is that the isomorphism $B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathcal{A}_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_B$ in the previous paragraph is unique. Otherwise we have a non-trivial automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_B$ (that is B-linear, preserves the filtration and is the identity on $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{A}_B = B \otimes \mathbb{C}[X]$). We can take the logarithm of this automorphism and get a B-linear derivation of $\mathcal{A}_B$ that decreases degrees. Pick the minimal k such that the degree $-k$ component of the derivation is nonzero. This component is a B-linear Poisson derivation of $B \otimes \mathbb{C}[X]$ of degree $-k$. By our assumption on the grading of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ (recall that we assume that $\mathbb{C}[X]_i = \{0\}$ for $0 < i < d$) and Proposition 2.14, such a derivation is zero. □

Let $\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P},\hbar}$ denote the Rees algebra of the filtered algebra $\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P}}$. This is a graded algebra, where $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W \subset \mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P},\hbar}$ is graded with $\mathfrak{P}^*$ in degree d and the degree of $\hbar$ is 1.

Corollary 3.7. *There is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant scheme morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{P}/W \times \mathbb{C} \to Y$ such that $\psi^*(z) = \hbar^d$ and there is an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P},\hbar} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}/W, \hbar] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathcal{A}_Y$.*

Proof. This is because $\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P},\hbar}$ comes with a generating map and the bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = [\cdot, \cdot]/\hbar^d$. □

3.5. **Structure of Y.** Let us start by describing Y_0, the scheme theoretic fiber of Y over 0. Corollary 3.7 yields an induced scheme morphism $\psi_0 : \mathfrak{P}/W \to Y_0$.

Proposition 3.8. *The induced morphism $\mathfrak{P}/W \times U \to Y_0$ is a scheme isomorphism.*

Proof. The proof is in several steps.

Step 1. Consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}[U] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ over $\mathbb{C}[U] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$. It comes with a generating map produced as follows. We pick a generating map in the fiber over $1 \in U$ and then extend it to $\mathbb{C}[U] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W \otimes V_{\leqslant me} \to \mathbb{C}[U] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ by U-equivariance.

Step 2. Now let B be a positively graded algebra and let $\mathcal{A}_B$ be a graded Poisson algebra deforming $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Then we have a generating map $B\otimes V_{\leqslant me}\to\mathcal{A}_B$. We claim that there is a unique morphism of schemes $\operatorname{Spec}(B)\to U\times\mathfrak{P}/W$ and a unique Poisson algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_B\xrightarrow{\sim} B\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}/W]}(\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W)$ intertwining the generating maps.

By the universal property of $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$, there is a unique graded algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W\to B$ and a unique graded Poisson $\mathbb{C}[B]$-algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_B\cong B\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W}\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ that is the identity modulo the augmentation ideal in B. Since the generating maps form a torsor over $U_{\operatorname{Spec}(B)}$, we further see that there is a unique homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W\to B$ and a unique isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_B\xrightarrow{\sim} B\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}/W]}(\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W)$ intertwining the generating maps.

Step 3. By Proposition 3.6, there is a unique scheme morphism $U\times\mathfrak{P}/W\to Y_0$ and a unique Poisson $\mathbb{C}[U\times\mathfrak{P}/W]$-algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[U\times\mathfrak{P}/W]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y_0]}\mathcal{A}_{Y_0}\to\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ intertwining the generating map. On the other hand, $\mathcal{A}_{Y_0}$ is graded. So, by Step 2, there is a unique scheme morphism $\mathfrak{P}/W\times U\to Y_0$ and a unique Poisson algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{Y_0}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}[Y_0]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[U\times\mathfrak{P}/W]}(\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W)$ intertwining the generating maps. So the morphisms between $U\times\mathfrak{P}/W$ and Y_0 are mutually inverse. □

Now let us describe a slight modification of the scheme Y.

Lemma 3.9. *We have a $\mathbb{C}^\times\times U$-equivariant isomorphism $U\times\mathfrak{P}/W\times\mathbb{C}\xrightarrow{\sim}Y'$ whose pull-back maps $\hbar$ to $\hbar$, where $\mathbb{C}[Y']=\mathbb{C}[Y][\hbar]/(z-\hbar^d)$.*

Proof. Consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P},\hbar}$. It comes with a generating map defined as in Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 3.8. This generating map is $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant by the construction. So we get a unique graded algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[Y]\to\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W[\hbar]$ and a unique graded algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P},\hbar}\xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W[\hbar])\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]}\mathcal{A}_Y$$

that intertwines the brackets $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and the generating map. The homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[Y]\to\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W[\hbar]$ maps z to $\hbar^d$ and so extends to a graded $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$-algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[Y']\to\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W[\hbar]$. It specializes to the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[Y_0]\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$. Note that both $\mathbb{C}[Y']$ and $\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W[\hbar]$ are positively graded. It follows that $\mathbb{C}[Y']\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}[U]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W[\hbar]$. □

This lemma together with Proposition 3.6 implies Proposition 3.5.

3.6. **Automorphisms and isomorphisms.** Here we are going to study the relationship between three different objects:

(1) The automorphism group G of the graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[X]$.
(2) Filtered algebra isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda]\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}]$.
(3) Filtered algebra isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_\lambda\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}$.

Note that G acts on the set of isomorphism classes of filtered Poisson deformations (resp, quantizations) by precomposing the isomorphism $\iota:\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}[X]$. So we have (two a priori different) actions of G on $\mathfrak{P}/W$ viewed as the space of parameters for filtered Poisson deformations and for filtered quantizations. These actions will be called Poisson and quantum below. Note that the universality properties for the algebras $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ (Corollary 2.13) and $\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (Proposition 3.5) yield G-actions on $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ (by graded Poisson algebra automorphisms) and on $\mathcal{A}^W_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (by filtered algebra automorphisms). These actions preserve

the subalgebras $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$ and induced the Poisson and quantum actions on $\mathfrak{P}/W$. Note that the Poisson action on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$ is obtained from the quantum action by passing to associated graded.

On the other hand, an isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ of filtered (associative/Poisson) algebras induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A} \to \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{A}'$ of graded Poisson algebras. So we get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *We have a filtered Poisson algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}]$ (resp., filtered associative algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}$) if and only if $W\lambda, W\lambda'$ lie in the same G-orbit for the Poisson (resp., quantum) action on $\mathfrak{P}/W$.*

We are now going to provide a more explicit description of the G-actions on $\mathfrak{P}/W$ that will show, in particular, that the classical and quantum actions are the same. For this, we will need to define a certain subgroup $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{P}$. Let us define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\{1, \dots, k\}$ by setting $i \sim j$ if $(\widehat{W}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i, \Xi_i)$ and $(\widehat{W}_j, \widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_j, \Xi_j)$ are isomorphic (here we write Ξ_i for the group of diagram automorphisms coming from the $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$-action). Now let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the group of all elements $g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{P})$ with the following properties:

- g preserves $\mathfrak{P}_0$ and maps $\mathfrak{P}_i$ to $\mathfrak{P}_j$ with $j \sim i$.
- The restriction of g to $\mathfrak{P}_i \to \mathfrak{P}_j$ coincides with a restriction of a diagram automorphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i \to \widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_j$.

Note that $\mathfrak{A}$ naturally acts on $\mathfrak{P}/W$.

Lemma 3.11. *There is a homomorphism $G \to \mathfrak{A}$ such that both quantum and Poisson actions of G factor through this homomorphism. In particular, the Poisson and quantum actions coincide.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathfrak{P}/W = \mathfrak{P}_0 \times \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{P}_i/W_i$, where $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_k$ are the codimension 2 symplectic leaves of X.

Take $g \in G$. Then g acts on $H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C})$ and also permutes the symplectic leaves (we denote the corresponding permutation of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ again by g). Then g induces a map $\mathfrak{P}_i/W_i \to \mathfrak{P}_{g(i)}/W_{g(i)}$. Also g induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\underline{X}^i_{\mathfrak{P}_i}]^{W_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\underline{X}^{g(i)}_{\mathfrak{P}_{g(i)}}]^{W_{g(i)}}$, (where, recall, we write $\underline{X}^i_{\mathfrak{P}_i}$ for the deformation of the slice Σ_i to $\mathcal{L}_i$ corresponding to the space $\mathfrak{P}_i$ of deformation parameters) of graded Poisson deformations (and a similar isomorphism on the level of quantizations) that maps $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}_i]^{W_i}$ to $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}_{g(i)}]^{W_{g(i)}}$. This is proved similarly to Step 3 of Proposition 2.10. As before, the Poisson isomorphism is the associated graded of the quantum isomorphism. Note that all graded Poisson algebra automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma_i]$ come from diagram automorphisms of the corresponding ADE root system (this is proved in bigger generality in the proof of Proposition 3.14). This action lifts to $\tilde{\Sigma}_i$ and the corresponding actions (both Poisson and quantum) on $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i$ coincide with the natural one. This finishes the proof. □

In particular, we see that the filtered Poisson algebras $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda], \mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda'}]$ are isomorphic if and only if the filtered associative algebras $\mathcal{A}_\lambda, \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}$ are.

3.7. **Spherical symplectic reflection algebras.** Let us consider the case of a symplectic quotient singularity $X = V/\Gamma$. Recall that by a symplectic reflection in Γ we mean an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\operatorname{rk}(\gamma - \mathrm{id}) = 2$. To a symplectic reflection s we assign the subgroup $\Gamma^s \subset \Gamma$, the pointwise stabilizer of V^s, and the quotient $\Xi^s := N_\Gamma(\Gamma^s)/\Gamma^s$. The codimension 2 symplectic leaves are in one-to-one correspondence with the conjugacy classes

of the subgroups Γ^s. The leaf corresponding to Γ^s is of the form $\{v \in V|\Gamma_v = \Gamma^s\}/\Xi^s$. So we see that the fundamental group is Ξ^s. From here we deduce that the irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ are labelled by the non-trivial $N_{\Gamma^s}(\Gamma^s)$-conjugacy classes in Γ^s.

Lemma 3.12. *We have $H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that $X^{reg} = V^0/\Gamma$, where we write V^0 for $\{v \in V|\Gamma_v = \{1\}\}$. The variety V^0 is a complement to the union of codimension 2 hyperplanes in V. So $H^2(V^0, \mathbb{C}) = 0$. Since $H^2(V^0/\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(V^0, \mathbb{C})^\Gamma$, we are done. □

From Lemma 3.12 and the preceding paragraph we conclude that the dimension of $\mathfrak{P}$ coincides with the number of conjugacy classes of symplectic reflections in Γ, this result was earlier obtained in [Bel, Theorem 1.3]. More precisely, let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$ be the conjugacy classes of possible Γ_s. Then $\mathfrak{P} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{P}_i$, where $\mathfrak{P}_i$ is the reflection representation of $W_i = \widehat{W}_i^{\Xi_i}$. Recall that we can identify $\mathfrak{P}_i$ with the space of Ξ_i-invariants in $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_i := H^2(\tilde{\Sigma}_i, \mathbb{C})$, where $\tilde{\Sigma}_i$ is the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_i$.

There is a way to deform $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ discovered by Etingof and Ginzburg, [EG]. Namely, we first deform the smash-product algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]\#\Gamma$. Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and c be a Γ-invariant function $S \to \mathbb{C}$, where S is the set of all symplectic reflections. Let ω denote the symplectic form on V. For $s \in S$, we write ω_s for the rank 2 form V whose kernel coincides with V^s and whose restriction to $\mathrm{im}(s-1)$ coincides with ω. Then we can form the algebra $H_{t,c}$ (known as a symplectic reflection algebra) by

$$H_{t,c} = T(V)\#\Gamma / \left(u \otimes v - v \otimes u - t\omega(u,v) - \sum_{s \in S} c(s)\omega_s(u,v)s | u, v \in V \right).$$

This is a filtered deformation of $\mathbb{C}[V]\#\Gamma$. Now take the averaging idempotent $e \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$. We can form the so called *spherical subalgebra* $eH_{t,c}e \subset H_{t,c}$ that is a filtered associative algebra with unit e. It is a filtered deformation of $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ that induces the Poisson bracket $t\omega^{-1}$ on $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$. So we get a filtered quantization when $t = 1$.

The space $\mathfrak{c}$ of parameters c is in an affine bijection with $\mathfrak{P}$. Namely, we can split S into the union $S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup S_k$, where S_i stands for the symplectic reflections in Γ_i. Consequently, $\mathfrak{c}$ splits into the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{c}_i$. The space $\mathfrak{c}_i$ embeds as the Ξ_i-invariants into the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_i$ with basis consisting of Γ_i-conjugacy classes.

An affine isomorphism between $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i$ was explained in [Lo5, Section 6.2]. Namely, define the element $C_i \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma_i$ by $1 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} c(\gamma)\gamma_i$. Let $N_1, \dots, N_{r_i}$ denote the non-trivial irreducible representations of Γ_i. Then we send an element $\{c(\gamma)\}|_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_i$ to $\sum_{j=1}^{r_i} (\mathrm{tr}_{N_j} C_i)\varpi_j$, where $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_{r_i}$ are the fundamental weights in $\mathfrak{P}_i$.

Let us denote the resulting affine isomorphism $\mathfrak{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{P}$ by ι.

The following proposition generalizes [Lo5, Theorem 6.2.1].

Proposition 3.13. *We have an isomorphism $eH_{1,c}e \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\iota(c)}$ of filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$.*

In particular, every filtered quantization of $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ is a spherical symplectic reflection algebra (see [Bod, Ho, Le] for various special cases of this result). An analog of this result for $t = 0$ was obtained by Bellamy in [Bel, Corollary 1.6].

Proof of Proposition 3.13. We already know that $eH_{1,c}e \cong \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}$ and we need to show that $\lambda = \iota(c)$. Consider the Rees algebra $R_\hbar(eH_{1,c}e)$ and its completion

$R_\hbar(eH_{1,c}e)^{\wedge_x}$ at a point $x \in \mathcal{L}_i$. According to [Lo3, Theorem 1.2.1], we get an isomorphism of formal quantizations

$$R_\hbar(eH_{1,c}e)^{\wedge_x} \cong \mathbb{A}_\hbar(V^{\Gamma_i})^{\wedge_0}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}R_\hbar(e^iH^i_{1,c_i}e^i)^{\wedge_0}.$$

Here the notation is as follows. We write c_i for the projection of c to $\mathfrak{c}_i$. The notation $\mathbb{A}_\hbar(V^{\Gamma_i})$ is for the Rees algebra of the Weyl algebra of the symplectic vector space V^{Γ_i}. The notation H^i_{1,c_i} is for the SRA associated to $(\Gamma_i, V/V^{\Gamma_i})$ and e^i is the averaging idempotent in Γ_i. Similarly, due to the fact that the non-commutative period map is natural, we see that

$$(R_\hbar(\mathcal{A}_\lambda))^{\wedge_x} \cong \mathbb{A}_\hbar(V^{\Gamma_i})^{\wedge_0}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}(R_\hbar(\mathcal{A}^i_{\lambda_i}))^{\wedge_0},$$

where $\mathcal{A}^i_{\lambda_i}$ denotes the filtered quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma_i]$ corresponding to parameter λ_i. Similarly to Steps 2,3 of the proof of Proposition 2.10, we conclude that $e^iH^i_{1,c_i}e^i \cong \mathcal{A}^i_{\lambda_i}$ as filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma_i]$. On the other hand, by [Lo5, Theorem 6.2.2], $e^iH^i_{1,c_i}e^i \cong \mathcal{A}^i_{\iota(c)_i}$. We conclude that $\iota(c)_i \in W_i\lambda_i$ and hence $\iota(c) \in W\lambda$ that finishes the proof. □

This proposition establishes an isomorphism $eH_{1,c}e \cong eH_{1,c'}e$ of quantizations when $\iota(c)$ and $\iota(c')$ are W-conjugate. In fact, using results of Section 3.6 we can completely determine when $eH_{1,c}e$ and $eH_{1,c'}e$ are isomorphic as filtered algebras. Note that the group $\Theta := N_{\mathrm{Sp}(V)}(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ acts on $\mathfrak{P}$ and the action normalizes the action of W therefore inducing a Θ-action on $\mathfrak{P}/W$.

Proposition 3.14. *We have a filtered algebra isomorphism $eH_{1,c}e \cong eH_{1,c'}e$ if and only if Wc and Wc' are Θ-conjugate.*

Proof. What we need to prove is that the automorphism group G of the graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ is Θ. A priori, we only have an inclusion of $\Theta \hookrightarrow G$. Note that V^0, the free locus for the Γ-action, is a simply-connected cover of $V^0/\Gamma = (V/\Gamma)^{reg}$ with Galois group Γ. So the G-action on V^0/Γ lifts to an action of an extension $\tilde{G}$ of G by Γ on V^0. The action of $\tilde{G}$ on V^0 extends to an action on V. It commutes with the dilating $\mathbb{C}^\times$ and preserves the symplectic form. Also it descends to V/Γ and so normalizes Γ. We deduce that $\tilde{G} \subset N_{\mathrm{Sp}(V)}(\Gamma)$ and hence $G \subset \Theta$. □

Remark 3.15. Another application of Proposition 3.13 is to construct shift $H_{1,c+\psi}$-$H_{1,c}$-bimodules $\mathsf{S}_{c,\psi}$, where ψ is an integral element of $\mathfrak{c}$, compare with [BC, Lo7]. For a fixed ψ and a Zariski generic c, the bimodule $\mathsf{S}_{c,\psi}$ gives a Morita equivalence between $H_{1,c}$ and $H_{1,c+\psi}$, which can be established similarly to [Lo7, Corollary 3.5] using the fact that the algebra $H_{1,c}$ is simple for a Weil generic c, [Lo3, Theorem 4.2.1]. We do not provide details in the present paper.

4. Birational induction and sheets

From now on, G is a simple algebraic group of adjoint type over $\mathbb{C}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

4.1. **Lusztig-Spaltenstein induction.** Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a Levi subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathbb{O}' \subset \mathfrak{l}$ be a nilpotent orbit. Pick a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ with Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ and let $\mathfrak{n}$ denote the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $P \subset G$ denote the corresponding parabolic subgroup. Then the fiber bundle $G \times_P (\overline{\mathbb{O}'} \times \mathfrak{n})$ naturally maps to the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}$, this is known as the *generalized Springer map*. Obviously, there is a unique dense orbit $\mathbb{O}$ in the image. This orbit is called *induced from* $\mathbb{O}'$ (see [LS]), in fact, it is independent of the choice of $\mathfrak{p}$. If the map $G \times_P (\overline{\mathbb{O}'} \times \mathfrak{n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{O}}$ is birational, then, following [Lo8], we say that $\mathbb{O}$

is *birationally induced* from $\mathbb{O}'$. An orbit that cannot be (birationally) induced from an orbit in a proper Levi is called *(birationally) rigid*.

Let $A(\mathbb{O})$ denote the G-equivariant fundamental group of $\mathbb{O}$, i.e., $A(\mathbb{O}) = Z_G(x)/Z_G(x)^\circ$ for $x \in \mathbb{O}$. If $\mathbb{O}$ is induced from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$, then $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$ defines a homogeneous space for $A(\mathbb{O})$: if G/H is the open G-orbit in $G \times_P (\overline{\mathbb{O}'} \times \mathfrak{n})$, then the homogeneous space we want is $Z_G(x)/H$. It is well-known that this homogeneous space is independent of the choice of P. It can be computed using results of Borho and Macpherson, [BM, Section 3], see also [FJLS, Section 5.4] for a review. We say that G/H is birationally induced from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$, and for $\tilde{H}$ with $H \subset \tilde{H} \subset Z_G(x)$ we say that $G/\tilde{H}$ is induced from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$.

We note that the Lusztig-Spaltenstein induction can be easily generalized to non-nilpotent orbits. Namely, let $\mathbb{O}'$ be a nilpotent orbit and ξ be a central element in $\mathfrak{l}$. Then we can consider the fiber bundle $G \times_P (\xi + \overline{\mathbb{O}'} + \mathfrak{n})$ that comes with a natural map to $\mathfrak{g}$. The image of this map is the closure of a single G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$. The G-orbit $\mathbb{O}_\xi$ is independent from the choice of P. It will be called induced from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$. Note that, similarly to [LS, Theorem 1.3], $(\xi + \overline{\mathbb{O}'} + \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathbb{O}_\xi$ is a single P-orbit.

By an *induction data* we mean a triple $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$, where $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathbb{O}' \subset \mathfrak{l}$ is a nilpotent orbit, and $\xi \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$.

We say that an induction data $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$ is birationally rigid if $\mathbb{O}'$ is birationally rigid in $\mathfrak{l}$ and the morphism $G \times_P (\xi + \overline{\mathbb{O}'} + \mathfrak{n}) \to \overline{G(\xi + \mathbb{O}' + \mathfrak{n})}$ is birational.

We have the following properties of birationally rigid induction data to be proved below in Section 4.5.

Proposition 4.1. *For any fixed $\mathfrak{l}$ and birationally rigid $\mathbb{O}' \subset \mathfrak{l}$, the set $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ of all $\xi \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ such that the induction from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$ is birational is independent of the choice of P and is the complement to a finite union of vector subspaces in $\mathfrak{l}$.*

4.2. **Sheets and birational sheets.** Recall that by a *sheet* in $\mathfrak{g}$ we mean an irreducible component of $\{x \in \mathfrak{g} | \dim Gx = d\}$ for some d. Each sheet contains a single nilpotent orbit and the rigid nilpotent orbits are precisely the orbits that coincide with the sheets containing them, see, e.g., [Bor, BoKr2]. In general, the sheets are indexed by the pairs $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$, where $\mathbb{O}'$ is a rigid orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$: the corresponding sheet consists of orbits induced from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$.

Let Z be a sheet and $\mathbb{O}$ be the unique nilpotent orbit contained in Z. It turns out that the action of G on Z admits a geometric quotient. More precisely, we have the following result due to Katsylo. Pick $e \in \mathbb{O}$ and include it into an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (e, h, f). Recall that by the Slodowy slice one means the affine subspace $S := e + \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(f) \subset \mathfrak{g}$. This slice comes equipped with an action of a reductive group $Q := Z_G(e, h, f)$. The following is the main result of [Ka]. Note that the component group of Q is $A(\mathbb{O})$.

Proposition 4.2. *The following is true:*

1. *The action of Q° on $Z \cap S$ is trivial and $A(\mathbb{O})$ permutes the components of $Z \cap S$ transitively.*
2. *The variety $(Z \cap S)/A(\mathbb{O})$ is the geometric quotient for the action of G on S meaning that it is a categorical quotient and each fiber of $Z \to (Z \cap S)/A(\mathbb{O})$ is a single G-orbit.*

In general, sheets do not behave well: they may intersect, may fail to be smooth, etc. *Birational sheets* to be introduced now do not intersect and are very often smooth (and

always smooth up to a bijective normalization). However, there are birational sheets that do not contain nilpotent orbits.

Pick a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ and a birationally rigid nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}' \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Let $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}}$ denote the set of all orbits birationally induced from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}', \xi)$, where $\xi \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$.

To $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$ we assign a finite group acting on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ as follows. The group $N_G(L)$ naturally acts on the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{l}$. Let $N_G(L, \mathbb{O}')$ denote the stabilizer of $\mathbb{O}'$. We set $W(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}') := N_G(L, \mathbb{O}')/L$. Note that this finite group naturally acts on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 (namely from the independence of P part) that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ is $W(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$-stable.

The following theorem describes basic properties of birational sheets.

Theorem 4.3. *The following is true:*

1. *We have* $\mathfrak{g} = \bigsqcup_{(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')} Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$.
2. $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ *is a locally closed subvariety of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *that admits a bijective smooth normalization.*
3. *A geometric quotient for the* G*-action on* $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}}$ *exists. It admits a bijective normalization isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}/W(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$. *The latter is a smooth variety.*

This theorem will be proved in Section 4.5.

4.3. **Structure of $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations.** In order to prove the results of the previous two sections we will need to examine the structure of $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalizations. Results of this section have been already obtained by Namikawa, [N3], for classical types, and Fu, [F], for exceptional types. Their proofs used case-by-case arguments, while we will give conceptual proofs.

Our main result in this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let* $\mathbb{O}$ *be a birationally rigid nilpotent orbit and let* $X := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}])$. *Then* X *is* $\mathbb{Q}$*-factorial and terminal and* $H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{X}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalization of X. Assume, first, that $\mathfrak{P} = \{0\}$. Then X has no codimension 2 leaves. By a result of Namikawa, [N1], X is terminal. Also $H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$ and hence $H^2(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{O}$, then $H^2(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{C})$ equals $\mathrm{Hom}(Z_G(x), \mathbb{C}^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. We conclude that the character group of $Z_G(x)$ is finite. According to [F, Lemma 4.1], X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. So X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal and $H^2(X^{reg}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$.

It remains to prove that $\mathfrak{P} = \{0\}$. The proof of this is in several steps.

Step 1. We consider the deformation $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$. We claim that we have a Hamiltonian G-action on $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ with moment map deforming that on X. For this consider the grading component $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]_1$. Note that $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_1$ and $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]_1 = \mathbb{C}[X]_1 \oplus \mathfrak{P}$. Also note that since $d = 1$, $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]_1$ is a Lie algebra with respect to $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ and the embedding $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_1$ is an inclusion of Lie algebras. So we get an extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{P}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}$ canonically splits. Note also that $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]$ acquires a $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]$-linear action of $\mathfrak{g}$ via $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$. Since the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ integrates to that of G, we see that so does the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]$. So we get the required Hamiltonian action. Let $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denote the moment maps and μ_λ be the restriction of $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}$ to X_λ. We remark that, by the construction, $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is W_X-invariant.

Step 2. We claim that the G-action on X_λ has an open orbit. This is an easy consequence of the existence of an open G-orbit on $X = X_0$. So μ_λ is finite and $\mu_\lambda(X_\lambda)$ is the closure of a single orbit to be denoted by $\mathbb{O}_\lambda$. Note that $\overline{\mathbb{O}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}^\times \mathbb{O}_\lambda}$ and $\dim \mathbb{O}_\lambda = \dim \mathbb{O}$.

Step 3. By Step 2, $X_{\mathfrak{P}}//G=\mathfrak{P}$. It follows that $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}$ induces a morphism $\mathfrak{P}\to\mathfrak{g}//G$. We claim that the image is different from $\{0\}$. Indeed, otherwise $\mathbb{O}_\lambda=\mathbb{O}$ for all λ. Moreover, since $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is a flat deformation of X, we see that the open orbit in X_λ is $\mathbb{O}$. We get the map $X_{\mathfrak{P}}\to\mathfrak{P}\times\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ induced by $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}$. This map is finite and birational so it is the normalization. Also it is Poisson and $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant. So it lifts to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant Poisson isomorphism $X_{\mathfrak{P}}\xrightarrow{\sim}X\times\mathfrak{P}$. This implies $\mathfrak{P}=\{0\}$. Contradiction.

Step 4. Pick a Zariski generic $\lambda\in\mathfrak{P}$. Let ξ be the semisimple part of an element in $\mathbb{O}_\lambda$, $\mathfrak{l}$ be the centralizer of ξ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathbb{O}'$ be the nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$ such that $\xi+\mathbb{O}'\subset\mathbb{O}_\lambda$. We claim that $\mathbb{O}$ is birationally induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$. Namely, let X' be the normalization of $\overline{\mathbb{O}'}$ and $\tilde{X}'$ be its $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalization. Consider the variety $\tilde{X}^1_{\mathbb{C}\xi}:=G\times_P(\mathbb{C}\xi\times\tilde{X}'\times\mathfrak{n})$. Here P is a parabolic subgroup with Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}$. The variety $\tilde{X}^1_{\mathbb{C}\xi}$ is a normal Poisson $\mathbb{C}\xi$-scheme and has a Hamiltonian G-action. Let $X^1_{\mathbb{C}\xi}:=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}^1_{\mathbb{C}\xi}])$. Note that the fiber of $X^1_{\mathbb{C}\chi}$ over ξ is the normalization of $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_\lambda$, i.e., X_λ. The fiber over 0 is $X^1:=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}^1])$, where $\tilde{X}^1=G\times_P(\tilde{X}'\times\mathfrak{n})$. Note that we get a finite G-equivariant morphism $X^1\twoheadrightarrow\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ that factors through $X^1\twoheadrightarrow X$. By the flatness considerations, we see that $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda]\cong\mathbb{C}[X^1]$ and $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda]\cong\mathbb{C}[X]$ as G-modules. We conclude that $X^1\xrightarrow{\sim}X$. This contradicts $\mathbb{O}$ being birationally rigid and completes the proof of the proposition. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathbb{O}$ be a nilpotent orbit. Then the following is true.*

1. *There is a unique pair $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$ of a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}\subset\mathfrak{g}$ and a birationally rigid nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}'$ such that $\mathbb{O}$ is birationally induced from $\mathbb{O}'$.*
2. *The variety $\tilde{X}:=G\times_P(X'\times\mathfrak{n})$ (where X' stands for $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}'])$) is a $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalization of X.*

Proof. Let us prove (1). Clearly, $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$ exists. On the other hand, we recover $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$ as in Step 4 of the proof of Proposition 4.4 (we note that $X_{\mathfrak{P}}/W_X$ and $\mathfrak{P}/W_X$ do not depend on the choice of $\tilde{X}$ and so $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$ are completely determined by $\mathbb{O}$). This proves (1).

The variety $\tilde{X}$ from (2) is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal. Hence it is the $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalization of X. This proves (2). □

4.4. **Computation of Weyl groups.** In this section we will get some information on the Namikawa-Weyl group W_X for $X:=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[G/H])$, where G/H is the open G-orbit in $\tilde{X}=G\times_P(X'\times\mathfrak{n})$. Here $X'=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}'])$ for a birationally rigid nilpotent orbit in $\mathbb{O}'$.

Our main result is as follows.

Proposition 4.6. *We have $\mathfrak{P}=\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. The Namikawa-Weyl group $W=W_X$ of X is a normal subgroup in $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$. Moreover, the quotient $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}/W$ is isomorphic to the group A of G-equivariant Poisson automorphisms of X.*

The group A is naturally identified with $N_{Z_G(x)}(H)/H$. In particular, if $H=Z_G(x)$, we see that $W=W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$. A formally weaker result (where $\mathbb{O}'=\{0\}$) was obtained in [N4].

Proof of Proposition 4.6. To compute $\mathfrak{P}$ we note that since $H^i(X'^{reg},\mathbb{C})=\{0\}$ for $i=1,2$ and $H^1(G/P,\mathbb{C})=0$, we get $H^2(\tilde{X}^{reg},\mathbb{C})=H^2(G/P,\mathbb{C})=\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$.

The claims about the Weyl group will be proved in several steps.

Step 1. Note that for a Zariski generic $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ the orbits $\mathbb{O}_\xi$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\xi'}$ induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi)$ and $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi')$, respectively, coincide (here $\xi'\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$) if and only if $\xi'\in W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}\xi$.

It follows that, for a Zariski generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$, the equality $\mu_\lambda(X_\lambda) = \mu_{\lambda'}(X_{\lambda'})$ implies $\lambda' \in W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}\lambda$. But the moment map $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is W-invariant, see Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 4.4. It follows that $W \subset W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$.

Step 2. We are going to produce a group homomorphism $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \to A$. Pick a Zariski generic element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. Consider the deformation $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$ of X over $\mathbb{C}\lambda$. It comes with the morphism $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \to \mathbb{C}\lambda \times_{\mathfrak{g}//G} \overline{\mathbb{C}^\times\mathbb{O}_\lambda}$ that is a normalization. Note that $w \in W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ defines a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant morphism

$$\mathbb{C}\lambda \times_{\mathfrak{g}//G} \overline{\mathbb{C}^\times\mathbb{O}_\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}w\lambda \times_{\mathfrak{g}//G} \overline{\mathbb{C}^\times\mathbb{O}_{w\lambda}}$$

and hence a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant isomorphism $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \to X_{\mathbb{C}w\lambda}$. This isomorphism is G-equivariant and intertwines the moment maps, hence it is Poisson. Specializing to $\lambda = 0$, we get an element of $a_{w,\lambda} \in A$. Note that the group A is finite. So varying λ, we get the same element $a_{w,\lambda}$, we will write a_w for $a_{w,\lambda}$. Since $a_{w_1w_2,\lambda} = a_{w_1,w_2\lambda}a_{w_2,\lambda}$, we see that $w \mapsto a_w$ is a group homomorphism.

Step 3. Let us show that $W \subset W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $w \mapsto a_w$. By the previous step, w induces a filtered Poisson algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda] \to \mathbb{C}[X_{w\lambda}]$ such that the induced automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is a_w. So $w \in W$ if and only if the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X_{w\lambda}]$ is that of filtered deformations if and only if $a_w = 1$.

Step 4. Let us prove that the homomorphism $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \to A$ is surjective. Recall that A acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]^W$ by graded algebra automorphisms and on $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ by graded Poisson algebra automorphisms. Under this action, $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathfrak{P}}]^W$ stays fixed. It follows that if $W\lambda, W\lambda'$ are A-conjugate, then $\mu_\lambda(X_\lambda) = \mu_{\lambda'}(X'_\lambda)$. In particular, we can take a Zariski generic λ and use Step 1 to see that λ, λ' are $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$-conjugate. This implies the surjectivity of $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \to A$. □

Below we will need to relate Weyl groups for inductions to $\mathfrak{g}$ and to some Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Namely, take a Levi $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and a birationally rigid nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}' \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Let $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{l}$. Let $\underline{\mathbb{O}}$ be the nilpotent orbit in $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ induced from $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{O}')$ and assume that the induction is birational. Let $\underline{X}$ denote the normalization of $\overline{\underline{\mathbb{O}}}$ and let $X := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[G \times_P (X' + \mathfrak{n})])$.

Lemma 4.7. *The group $W_{\underline{X}}$ is contained in the pointwise stabilizer of $\mathfrak{z}(\underline{\mathfrak{g}})$ in W_X.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{P}$ be a parabolic subgroup in G with Levi subalgebra $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ denote the unipotent radical of $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$. So we have a partial resolution morphism $\rho : G \times_{\tilde{P}} (\underline{X} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \twoheadrightarrow X$. Take a codimension 2 symplectic leaf $\mathcal{L}_i$ in X and let $\Sigma_i^\wedge$ be the formal slice to $\mathcal{L}_i$ in X. Then $\rho^{-1}(\Sigma_i^\wedge)$ is a partial resolution of $\Sigma_i^\wedge$. It is obtained from the full resolution by contracting some of the components of the exceptional divisor. Clearly the set of components being contracted is $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$-stable. So the partial resolution defines a parabolic subgroup $\underline{\widehat{W}}_i$ in $\widehat{W}_i$ whose simple roots are represented by the components contracted. Note that $W_{\underline{X}} = \prod_{i=1}^k \underline{\widehat{W}}_i$.

The partial resolutions $\rho^{-1}(\Sigma_i^\wedge)$ deform trivially in the deformation $G \times_{\tilde{P}} (\mathfrak{z}(\underline{\mathfrak{g}}) \times X' \times \tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$. This means that the directions of the deformation in $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ are $W_{\underline{X}}$-invariant. So $\mathfrak{z}(\underline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is $W_{\underline{X}}$-invariant. This completes the proof. □

In the proof of Theorem 4.3 below we will see that in Lemma 4.7 we actually have an equality.

4.5. **Consequences.** In this section we prove Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. As before we write X' for $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}'])$. We note that $\mathbb{C}[G\times_P(X'\times\mathfrak{n})]$ is independent of the choice of P: this is the algebra of functions on the open G-orbit in $G\times_P(\mathbb{O}'\times\mathfrak{n})$ that is independent of the choice of P. Let $X:=\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[G\times_P(X'\times\mathfrak{n})])$. Then $\tilde{X}:=G\times_P(X'\times\mathfrak{n})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-terminalization of X. We note that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ coincides with the set of $\lambda\in\mathfrak{P}:=\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ such that $\mu_\lambda: X_\lambda\to\mathfrak{g}$ is generically injective. This set is independent of the choice of P. So $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ is independent of the choice of P.

Now let $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}:=\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$. Clearly, $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ if and only if the induction from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$ to $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}$ is birational. So the inclusion $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ depends only on $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$. There is a finite number of choices and in order to complete the proof of Proposition, we only need to show that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ is open. This reduces to showing that induction from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$ is birational, then so is the induction from $(\mathfrak{l},\xi+\mathbb{O}')$ for any $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$.

Pick $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. We write $\mathbb{O}_\xi$ for the orbit induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi)$. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_\xi]$ is filtered with associated graded supported on $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$. Let e denote the GK multiplicity, it is a positive integer. On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}[X_\xi]$ is the algebra of functions on some cover of $\mathbb{O}_\xi$. It has GK multiplicity 1. We conclude that the moment map $X_\xi\to\overline{\mathbb{O}}_\xi$ is generically injective. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\xi,\xi'\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$\mathbb{C}[X_\xi],\mathbb{C}[X_{\xi'}]$ are G-equivariantly isomorphic as filtered Poisson algebras.*
2. *The G-orbits induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi),(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi')$ coincide.*
3. *$\xi'\in W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}\xi$.*

Proof. Clearly (3) implies (2). Let $\mathbb{O}_\xi,\mathbb{O}_{\xi'}$ denote the orbits induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi)$ and $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi')$. Note that $\mathbb{C}[X_\xi]\cong\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_\xi]$ (a G-equivariant Poisson isomorphism) because $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$ and similarly $\mathbb{C}[X_{\xi'}]\cong\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_{\xi'}]$. The argument of Step 4 of the proof of Proposition 4.4 shows that if $\mathbb{O}_\xi=\mathbb{O}_{\xi'}$, then the resulting isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[X_\xi]\cong\mathbb{C}[X_{\xi'}]$ is that of filtered algebras. So (2) implies (1). The equivalence of (1) and (3) follows from Lemma 3.10. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3. The proof is in several steps.

Step 1. Let us prove (1). Clearly, birational sheets cover $\mathfrak{g}$ so we only need to prove that they do not intersect. Recall that if an orbit Gx is induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi)$, then ξ is G-conjugate to x_s. This allows to reduce the proof to the claim that every nilpotent orbit lies in a single birational sheet. This is equivalent to (1) of Corollary 4.5.

Step 2. To prove (2) and (3) we first need to establish the following claim:

(*) The action of $A=W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}/W_X$ on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}/W_X$ is free.

Indeed, let $\xi\in\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}$. Let $\underline{G}$ stand for the centralizer of ξ in G. Let $\underline{\mathbb{O}}$ denote the nilpotent orbit in $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$. Set $\underline{X}=\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\underline{\mathbb{O}}])$. By Lemma 4.7, we have $W_{\underline{X}}\subset W_{X,\xi}$. On the other hand, the group $\underline{W}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$, the analog of $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ for $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, coincides with the stabilizer $(W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'})_\xi$. But the induction from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$ to $\underline{\mathbb{O}}$ is birational, so by Proposition 4.6, we have $W_{\underline{X}}=(W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'})_\xi$. This shows (*).

Step 3. Now let us prove (3). Let $X^0_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denote the locus in $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ consisting of orbits of maximal dimension, it embeds into $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Consider the preimage $\tilde{S}$ of the Slodowy slice S under $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}:\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}}=G\times_P(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})\times X'\times\mathfrak{n})\to\mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $\tilde{S}\subset X^0_{\mathfrak{P}}$. The morphism $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}:\tilde{S}\to S$ is still proper. Since S is transversal to all orbits it intersects, $\tilde{S}$ is smooth.

Similarly to Step 2 of the proof of Proposition 4.6, we see that we have a $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$-action on $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Clearly, $\tilde{S} \subset X^0_{\mathfrak{P}} \subset X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$-stable. By Proposition 4.2, every G-orbit in Z intersects S in a single $A(\mathbb{O})$-orbit. From here we deduce that the projection $\tilde{S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{S}/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \to \mathfrak{P}/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$.

Note that $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \cap S$ coincides with the image of $\tilde{S} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'})$ under $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}$, where we write π for the projection $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{P}} \to \mathfrak{P}$. This image is the complement of a closed subset in the image of $\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}|_{\tilde{S}}$ that is a proper morphism. It follows that $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \cap S$ is a locally closed subvariety. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 4.8 that if the images of two points from $\tilde{S} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'})$ in $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}/G$ coincide, then the points are $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$-conjugate. On the other hand, the epimorphism $\tilde{S} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}) \twoheadrightarrow Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}/G$ factors through $(\tilde{S} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}))/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$. The resulting morphism $(\tilde{S} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}))/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \twoheadrightarrow Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}/G$ is a bijective normalization morphism. The variety $(\tilde{S} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}))/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ is smooth. This proves (3).

Step 4. Let us prove (2). Note that $X^0_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mu^{-1}_{\mathfrak{P}}(Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'})$. The subvariety $X^0_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is the union of orbits of maximal dimension in $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$. We see that the action of $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ on $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ preserves $X^0_{\mathfrak{P}}$. By Lemma 4.8, the induced morphism $X^0_{\mathfrak{P}}/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \to Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ is injective. It is also proper. It remains to prove that $(X^0_{\mathfrak{P}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'}))/W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ is smooth. For this we need to show that the action of $(W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'})_\xi$ on X_ξ is trivial, which then implies that $W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ acts on $X^0_{\mathfrak{P}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{reg}_{\mathbb{O}'})$ by reflections. Recall, Step 2, that $W_{X,\xi} = (W_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'})_\xi$. Assume that an element $w \in W_{X,\xi}$ acts on X_ξ nontrivially. The action preserves the filtration on $\mathbb{C}[X_\xi]$ and is the identity on the associated graded. But a finite group of automorphisms cannot contain an element with these properties. This finishes the proof of (2). □

Remark 4.9. In fact, in most cases, the birational sheets as well as their quotients are smooth. Namely, $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ is smooth provided $\mathbb{O}$ (the orbit induced from $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}')$) is not one of the seven orbits in [PT, Table 0] and is not induced from one of these orbits. In particular, the birational sheets in classical Lie algebras are always smooth. Let us sketch a proof of this.

First, let us consider the birational sheet $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ containing $\mathbb{O}$. Let $Z(\mathbb{O})$ denote the union of sheets containing $\mathbb{O}$. One can show that $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \cap S = (Z(\mathbb{O}) \cap S)^{A(\mathbb{O})}$. Using techniques of [PT] (where an analogous result was proved in the quantum case), one shows that $(Z(\mathbb{O}) \cap S)^{A(\mathbb{O})}$ is an affine space provided $\mathbb{O}$ is not one of the orbits in Table 0 in the introduction of *loc.cit.*. So we see that $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \cap S = Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}/G$ is smooth. But, for $s \in S \cap Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$, we have $T_s Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} = T_s Gs \oplus T_s(Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'} \cap S)$. It follows that $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ is smooth.

Now consider the general case. Pick $x \in Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$. Let $\underline{G} = Z_G(x)$ and let $\underline{Z}^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ be the birational sheet in $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'$, this birational sheet contains x_n. Then we have an etale homomorphism $G \times_{\underline{G}} (x_s + \underline{Z}^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}) \to Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ with x lying in the image. We deduce from the previous paragraph that both $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}$ and $Z^{bir}_{\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}'}/G$ are smooth provided $\mathbb{O}$ is not induced from one of the seven orbits in [PT, Table 0].

Remark 4.10. One can ask for an intrinsic characterization of birational sheets. It follows from Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 4.3 that for a pair $\mathbb{O}_1, \mathbb{O}_2$ of G-oribts lying in the same birational sheet, the G-modules $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_1], \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_2]$ are isomorphic. We conjecture that the converse is also true: if the G-modules $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_1], \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_2]$ are isomorphic as G-modules, then $\mathbb{O}_1, \mathbb{O}_2$ lie in the same birational sheet.

5. W-algebras and Orbit method

5.1. **W-algebras.** We start by recalling (finite) W-algebras that were originally defined by Premet in [P1], although we will follow an approach from [Lo1].

Pick a nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Choose an element $e \in \mathbb{O}$ and include it into an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (e, h, f). Recall that we write Q for the centralizer of (e, h, f) in G.

From the triple (e, h, f) we can produce a filtered associative algebra $\mathcal{W}$ equipped with a Hamiltonian Q-action. Namely, consider the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U} = U(\mathfrak{g})$ with its standard PBW filtration $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i \geqslant 0} \mathcal{U}_{\leqslant i}$. It will be convenient for us to double the filtration and set $F_i\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}_{\leqslant [i/2]}$. Form the Rees algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar := \bigoplus_i (F_i\mathcal{U})\hbar^i$. The quotient $\mathcal{U}_\hbar/(\hbar)$ coincides with $S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]$. Identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ by means of the Killing form and let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the image of $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the completion $\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$ in the topology induced by the preimage of the maximal ideal of χ. The space $V := [\mathfrak{g}, f]$ is symplectic with the form given by $\langle \chi, [\cdot, \cdot] \rangle$. So we can form the homogenized Weyl algebra $\mathbb{A}_\hbar$ of V, i.e., $\mathbb{A}_\hbar$ is the Rees algebra of the usual Weyl algebra $\mathbb{A}(V)$. We consider the completion $\mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0}$ in the topology induced by the maximal ideal of $0 \in V$. Both $\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$ and $\mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0}$ come equipped with actions of $Q \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. The action of Q on $\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}, \mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0}$ is induced from the natural actions of Q on $\mathfrak{g}$ and V, respectively. The group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ via $t.\alpha := t^{-2}\gamma(t)\alpha$, where $\gamma : \mathbb{C}^\times \to G$ is the one-parameter subgroup associated to h. It acts on V by $t.v := \gamma(t)^{-1}v$. Finally, we set $t.\hbar := t\hbar$, this defines $\mathbb{C}^\times$-actions on $\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}, \mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0}$ by topological algebra automorphisms that commute with the Q-actions.

It was checked in [Lo1, Section 3.3], see also [Lo6, Section 2.2] that there is a $Q \times \mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$-linear embedding $\mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$ such that we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi} \cong \mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} \mathcal{W}'_\hbar, \tag{5.1}$$

where we write $\mathcal{W}'_\hbar$ for the centralizer of $\mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0}$ in $\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$. The algebra $\mathcal{W}'_\hbar$ comes with an action of $Q \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let us write $\mathcal{W}_\hbar$ for the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-finite part of $\mathcal{W}'_\hbar$, then $\mathcal{W}'_\hbar$ is naturally identified with the completion $\mathcal{W}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$. Set $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{W}_\hbar/(\hbar - 1)$. This is a filtered algebra with a Hamiltonian Q-action that does not depend on the choice of the embedding $\mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$ up to an isomorphism preserving the filtration and the action. See [Lo6, Section 2.1]. The associated graded algebra $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{W}$ coincides with $\mathbb{C}[S]$, where S is the Slodowy slice.

5.2. **Restriction functor for HC bimodules.** By a G-equivariant Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{U}$-bimodule (or $(\mathcal{U}, G)$-module) we mean a finitely generated $\mathcal{U}$-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ such that the adjoint $\mathfrak{g}$-action is locally finite and integrates to an action of G. We can also introduce the notion of a Q-equivariant HC $\mathcal{W}$-bimodule, see [Lo2, Section 2.5]. We write $\mathrm{HC}^G(\mathcal{U}), \mathrm{HC}^Q(\mathcal{W})$ for the categories of equivariant HC bimodules.

In [Lo2, Section 3], we have constructed an exact functor $\bullet_\dagger : \mathrm{HC}^G(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathrm{HC}^Q(\mathcal{W})$. Let us recall the construction of the functor. Pick a G-equivariant HC bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ and equip it with a good filtration compatible with the filtration $\mathrm{F}_i\mathcal{U}$. So the Rees $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$-module $\mathcal{B}_\hbar := R_\hbar(\mathcal{B})$ is a G-equivariant $\mathcal{U}_\hbar$-bimodule. Consider the completion $\mathcal{B}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$ in the χ-adic topology. This is a $Q \times \mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant $\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$-bimodule (the action of Q is Hamiltonian, while the action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is not). As was checked in [Lo2, Proposition 3.3.1], $\mathcal{B}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi} = \mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} \underline{\mathcal{B}}'_\hbar$, where $\underline{\mathcal{B}}'_\hbar$ is the centralizer of $\mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0}$. So $\underline{\mathcal{B}}'_\hbar$ is a $Q \times \mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant $\mathcal{W}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$-bimodule. One can show that it coincides with the completion of its $\mathbb{C}^\times$-finite part $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_\hbar$. We set $\mathcal{B}_\dagger := \underline{\mathcal{B}}_\hbar/(\hbar - 1)$. This is an object in $\mathrm{HC}^Q(\mathcal{W})$ that comes equipped with a good filtration. This filtration depends on the choice of a filtration on $\mathcal{B}$, while $\mathcal{B}_\dagger$ itself does not.

Let us list properties of the functor $\bullet_\dagger$ established in [Lo2, Sections 3.3,3.4].

Lemma 5.1. *The following is true:*

(1) $\mathcal{U}_\dagger = \mathcal{W}$.
(2) $\bullet_\dagger$ *is an exact functor.*
(3) $\bullet_\dagger$ *intertwines the tensor products.*
(4) $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}_\dagger$ *(with respect to the filtration above) coincides with the pull-back of* $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}$ *to* S.
(5) *In particular,* $\bullet_\dagger$ *maps the category* $\mathrm{HC}^G_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}(\mathcal{U})$ *of all HC bimodules supported on* $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ *to the category* $\mathrm{HC}^Q_{fin}(\mathcal{W})$ *of all finite dimensional* Q*-equivariant* $\mathcal{W}$*-bimodules. Further,* $\bullet_\dagger$ *annihilates* $\mathrm{HC}^G_{\partial\mathbb{O}}(\mathcal{U})$.

The functor $\bullet_\dagger : \mathrm{HC}^G_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathrm{HC}^Q_{fin}(\mathcal{W})$ has a right adjoint $\bullet^\dagger : \mathrm{HC}^Q_{fin}(\mathcal{W}) \to \mathrm{HC}^G_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}(\mathcal{U})$. We will need the construction of the functor $\bullet^\dagger$ below so let us recall it.

Pick $\underline{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathrm{HC}^Q_{fin}(\mathcal{W})$ and equip it with a Q-stable filtration (for example, we can just take the trivial one). Then form the Rees bimodule $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_\hbar$ and the Q-equivariant $\mathcal{U}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$-bimodule $\mathcal{B}'_\hbar = \mathbb{A}_\hbar^{\wedge_0}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}\underline{\mathcal{B}}_\hbar^{\wedge_\chi}$. We can equip $\mathcal{B}'_\hbar$ with a $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure via $\xi.b := \frac{1}{\hbar^2}[\xi, b]$. Let $(\mathcal{B}'_\hbar)_{\mathfrak{g}-fin}$ denote the $\mathfrak{g}$-finite part of $\mathcal{B}'_\hbar$. This module is $\mathbb{C}^\times$-stable and we can twist a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action (see [Lo2, Section 3.3] for details) to get one commuting with $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $(\mathcal{B}'_\hbar)_{fin}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-finite part in $(\mathcal{B}'_\hbar)_{\mathfrak{g}-fin}$, this is a graded $\mathcal{U}_\hbar$-bimodule. It follows from [Lo2, Lemma 3.3.3] that this bimodule is finitely generated. Set $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^\diamondsuit = (\mathcal{B}'_\hbar)_{fin}/(\hbar - 1)$. This is a $\mathrm{HC}\,\mathcal{U}$-bimodule supported on $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ that comes with a natural filtration. Note that $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^\diamondsuit$ has no sub-bimodules supported on $\partial\mathbb{O}$.

Also note that Q/Q° naturally acts on $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^\diamondsuit$ by filtered $\mathcal{U}$-bimodule automorphisms. We set $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^\dagger := (\underline{\mathcal{B}}^\diamondsuit)^{Q/Q^\circ}$, this gives a right adjoint functor of interest. We note that both the kernel and the cokernel of the adjunction homomorphism $\mathcal{B} \to (\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger$ are supported on $\partial\mathbb{O}$, this was established in [Lo2, Section 3.3].

Now let us investigate what happens with $\mathcal{B}_\dagger$ and $(\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a quantization of $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$, where G/H is a cover of $\mathbb{O}$.

Lemma 5.2. *The following is true:*

(1) $\mathcal{B}_\dagger$ *is a filtered algebra, it is* Q*-equivariantly isomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}[Z_G(e)/H]$ *(with a trivial filtration).*
(2) *Let* A *be a finite dimensional algebra with a Hamiltonian* Q*-action and a compatible homomorphism* $\mathcal{W} \to \mathsf{A}$. *Then* $\mathsf{A}^\diamondsuit$ *is a filtered algebra and the action of* Q/Q° *is by algebra automorphisms.*
(3) *We have* $\operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger = \mathbb{C}[G/H]$ *and the natural homomorphism* $\mathcal{B} \to (\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger$ *is an isomorphism of filtered algebras.*

Proof. Let us prove (1). That $\mathcal{B}_\dagger$ is an algebra follows directly from the construction. The isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_\dagger \cong \mathbb{C}[Z_G(e)/H]$ follows from (4) of Lemma 5.1.

(2) follows from the construction of $\bullet^\dagger$. Let us now prove (3).

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an H-stable codimension 1 ideal of $\mathcal{W}$. We can form the algebra $\mathsf{A} = \bigoplus_{h\in Z_G(x)/H}\mathcal{W}/h.\mathcal{I}$. For example, $\mathcal{B}_\dagger$ is of this form. Consider the algerba $\mathsf{A}^\dagger$. It follows from the construction in [Lo2, Section 3.3] that $\operatorname{gr}\mathsf{A}^\dagger$ admits a graded G-equivariant algebra embedding into $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$.

Now consider the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{B} \to (\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger$. The kernel is supported on $\partial\mathbb{O}$. Note however that $\mathcal{B}$ has no zero divisors and so is prime. It follows from [BoKr1, Corollar 3.6] that the kernel is zero. So $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger$. Together with the inclusion $\operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[G/H] = \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}$, this implies that $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{B}_\dagger)^\dagger$ is an isomorphism of filtered algebras. □

5.3. **Orbit method.** Let $\mathbb{O}_1$ be an adjoint orbit corresponding to the birational induction data $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi)$. From $(\mathfrak{l},\mathbb{O}',\xi)$ we can produce a filtered algebra $\mathcal{A}_\xi$ which comes with a G-action and a quantum comoment map $\mathfrak{g}\to\mathcal{A}_\xi$. We will write $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$ for $\mathcal{A}_\xi$, $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$ for the kernel of $U(\mathfrak{g})\to\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{O}_1}$.

The following is our version of the Orbit method.

Theorem 5.3. *The following is true.*

1. *If there is a G-equivariant algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_1)\to\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_2)$, then $\mathbb{O}_1=\mathbb{O}_2$.*
2. *Moreover, assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical. If $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_1)=\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_2)$, then $\mathbb{O}_1=\mathbb{O}_2$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{l}_1,\mathbb{O}_1',\xi_1),(\mathfrak{l}_2,\mathbb{O}_2',\xi_2)$ be the birationally rigid induction data giving $\mathbb{O}_1,\mathbb{O}_2$. Let x_1,x_2 be points in the orbits induced from $(\mathfrak{l}_1,\mathbb{O}_1,0),(\mathfrak{l}_2,\mathbb{O}_2,0)$ and let $H_i\subset Z_G(x_i)$ be the finite index subgroups produced from $(\mathfrak{l}_i,\mathbb{O}_i,0), i=1,2$.

The proof is in several steps.

Step 1. First, suppose that $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_1)=\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_2)$. Then the nilpotent orbits induced from $(\mathfrak{l}_i,\mathbb{O}_i')$ are the same, let us write $\mathbb{O}$ for this common orbit and e for x_i.

Step 2. Under the assumptions of (1), let us show that H_1,H_2 are the same (meaning conjugate in $Z_G(e)$). Note that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_2)$ are isomorphic as G-equivariant HC bimodules. Consider the W-algebra $\mathcal{W}$ corresponding to $\mathbb{O}$ and the corresponding restriction functor $\bullet_\dagger$. By (1) of Lemma 5.2, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_i)_\dagger=\mathbb{C}Z_G(e)/H_i$. This implies $H_1=H_2$.

Step 3. Let us deduce (1) from $H_1=H_2,\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_1)=\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_2)$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_i)_\dagger$ can be described as follows. Pick an H_i-stable codimension 1 ideal $\mathcal{I}\subset\mathcal{W}$ containing $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_i)_\dagger$. Form the $Z_G(e)/Z_G(e)^\circ$-homogeneous bundle of algebras over $Z_G(e)/H_i$ with fiber $\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{I}$, denote it by A_i. By the construction, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_i)_\dagger=\mathsf{A}_i$. By the assumption in the beginning of the step, we have a Q-equivariant isomorphism of algebras $\mathsf{A}_1\cong\mathsf{A}_2$. From (3) of Lemma 5.2 we deduce that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_1)\cong\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_2)$.

Step 4. Let us proceed to proving part (2). We only need to check that $H_1=H_2$. We will prove a more general claim: we have $H_1=H_2$ provided $A(\mathbb{O})$ is abelian, which is always the case for classical types.

So suppose that $H_1\neq H_2$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an H_1H_2-stable codimension 1 ideal in $\mathcal{W}$ containing $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{O}_i)_\dagger$. Let $\Gamma_i:=H_i/H_1\cap H_2,\Gamma:=(H_1H_2)/(H_1\cap H_2)$. Consider the $Z_G(e)/Z_G(e)^\circ$-equivariant algebra A that is the homogeneous bundle over $Z_G(e)/(H_1\cap H_2)$ with fiber $\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{I}$ over 1. The group Γ acts on A by $Z_G(e)/Z_G(e)^\circ$-equivariant filtered algebra automorphisms fixing the image of $\mathcal{W}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_i)_\dagger=\mathsf{A}^{\Gamma_i}$. So the group Γ also acts on $\mathsf{A}^\dagger$ by filtered algebra automorphisms fixing the image of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Similarly to (3) of Lemma 5.2, we have G-equivariant filtered algebra isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_i)\cong(\mathsf{A}^\dagger)^{\Gamma_i}$. In particular, we see that the group Γ/Γ_i acts on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{O}_i)$ by filtered algebra automorphisms lifting the action of this group on $\mathbb{C}[G/H_i]$. This means that the parameter $W_i\xi_i$ of the quantization $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{O}_i}$ is stable under the action of Γ/Γ_i. It follows from Section 3.6 that the filtered deformation $\mathbb{C}[X_{\xi_i}]$ of $\mathbb{C}[G/H_i]$ also carries an action of Γ/Γ_i by filtered Poisson algebra automorphisms. But Γ/Γ_i leaves the image of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ invariant. This contradicts the assumption that the moment map $X_{\xi_i}\to\overline{\mathbb{O}}_i$ is birational and completes the proof. □

Remark 5.4. There are 12 orbits in exceptional Lie algebras with noncommutative $A(\mathbb{O})$: it can be equal S_3, S_4 (for a single orbit in type F_4) or S_5 (for a single orbit in type E_8). We have checked that the conclusion of (2) is still true for most of these orbits and we do not know what happens for the rest.

5.4. **Toward description of the image.** An interesting question is to describe the image of the map $\mathfrak{g}/G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Prim}(\mathfrak{g})$. We will sketch the results that we expect to hold and proofs will be provided elsewhere.

Note that the orbits lying in the union $Z(\mathbb{O})$ of all sheets containing $\mathbb{O}$ get mapped to $\mathrm{Prim}_{\mathbb{O}}(\mathfrak{g})$, the set of primitive ideals whose associated variety is $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the W-algebra corresponding to $\mathbb{O}$. Recall, [Lo2, Section 1.2], that $\mathrm{Prim}_{\mathbb{O}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{Irr}_{fin}(\mathcal{W})$, the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional irreducible modules over $\mathcal{W}$, by the action of $A(\mathbb{O})$.

Note, first of all, by Lemma 5.2, the kernel $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{A}_\xi$, where $\mathcal{A}_\xi$ is a quantization of $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$ corresponds to a one-dimensional $\mathcal{W}$-module. When $A(\mathbb{O})$ is abelian, the argument of Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 5.3 implies that any such kernel lies in the image of $Z(\mathbb{O})/G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Prim}_{\mathbb{O}}(\mathfrak{g})$. When $A(\mathbb{O})$ is not abelian, then this does not need to be the case, one gets a counter-example for the subregular orbit in G_2.

Now let us impose another assumption on $\mathbb{O}$: we assume that $\mathbb{O}$ is not one of the six bad orbits in [P3, Introduction] and is not induced from such an orbit. Recall that the six orbits (all in exceptional Lie algebras) are characterized by the property that $\mathbb{O} \subsetneq \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}])^{reg}$, see the tables in [FJLS].

Results from [PT] imply that, under our assumption on $\mathbb{O}$, every *multiplicity free* primitive ideal in $\mathrm{Prim}_{\mathbb{O}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (in the terminology of *loc. cit.*) arises as the kernel of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{A}_\xi$, where $\mathcal{A}_\xi$ is a suitable quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}]$. Conversely, any such kernel is a multiplicity free primitive ideal.

Now let us explain a conjectural description of the image of $Z(\mathbb{O})/G$ in $\mathrm{Prim}_{\mathbb{O}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathcal{W}^{ab} = \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}[\mathcal{W},\mathcal{W}]$ denote the abelinization of $\mathcal{W}$. In [P2], Premet established a surjection, say $C \mapsto Z_C$, from the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{W})^{ab}$ to the set of the irreducible components of $Z(\mathbb{O}) \cap S$ with the property $\dim Z_C \geqslant \dim C$. One can show that in the case of equality, Z_C is just the asymptotic cone of C (we say that C is *large* in this case). Further, one can use results from [P3] to show that the map $C \mapsto Z_C$ is injective on the set of large components and every irreducible component Z of $Z(\mathbb{O}) \cap S$ appears in the image. Finally, one can show that the primitive ideals appearing as the kernels of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{A}_\xi$ are precisely the ideals lying in the union of large components of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{W}^{ab})$.

We conjecture that in the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical, then all irreducible components of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{W}^{ab})$ are large. This reduces to checking the following two claims.

(c1) The stabilizer in $Z_G(e)$ of any one-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-module is a subgroup $H \subset Z_G(e)$ such that G/H is an open orbit in $G\times_P(\overline{\mathbb{O}'}+\mathfrak{n})$, where $\mathbb{O}'$ is birationally rigid.

(c2) Recall that any one-dimensional representation of $\mathcal{W}$ with stabilizer H gives rise to a filtered quantization of G/H, see [Lo4]. This quantization extends to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[G/H])$.

References

[Be] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*. Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 3, 541-549.

[Bel] G. Bellamy, *Counting resolutions of symplectic quotient singularities*. Compos. Math. 152 (2016), no. 1, 99-114.

[BC] Y. Berest and O. Chalykh, *Quasi-invariants of complex reflection groups*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), 965-1002.

[BeKa] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin, *Fedosov quantization in the algebraic context*. Moscow Math. J. 4 (2004), 559-592.
[BCHM] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 2, 405-468.
[Bod] P. Boddington, *Deformations of type D Kleinian singularities*. arXiv:0612853.
[Bor] W. Borho, *Über Schichten halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren*, Invent. Math. 65 (1981/82), no. 2, 283317.
[BoKr1] W. Borho, H. Kraft, *Über die Gelfand-Kirillov-Dimension*. Math. Ann. 220(1976), 1-24.
[BoKr2] W. Bohro, H. Kraft, *Über Bahnen und deren Deformationen bei linearen Aktionen reduktiver Gruppen*. Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), no. 1, 61-104.
[BM] W. Borho, R. Macpherson, *Partial resolutions of nilpotent varieties*, in: Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, II, III, Luminy, 1981, Astérisque 101-102 (1983) 23-74, Soc. Math. France, Paris.
[BPW] T. Braden, N. Proudfoot, B. Webster, *Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions I: local and global structure*. arXiv:1208.3863.
[CM] D. Collingwood, W. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*. Chapman and Hall, London, 1993.
[D1] J. Dixmier. *Représentations irrductibles des algbres de Lie nilpotentes*. (French) An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. 35 (1963) 491519.
[D2] J. Dixmier. *Enveloping algebras*. Revised reprint of the 1977 translation. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 11. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
[EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), 243-348.
[F] B. Fu, *On $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations of nilpotent orbits*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 93 (2010), no. 6, 623635.
[FJLS] B. Fu, D. Juteau, P. Levy, E. Sommers, *Generic singularities of nilpotent orbit closures*. arXiv:1502.05770.
[GL] I. Gordon, I. Losev, *On category $\mathcal{O}$ for cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebras*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 16 (2014), 1017-1079.
[Ho] T. Hodges. *Noncommutative deformations of type A Kleinian singularities*. J. Algebra 161 (1993), n. 2, 271-290.
[K] D. Kaledin, *Geometry and topology of symplectic resolutions*. Algebraic geometry, Seattle 2005. Part 2, 595-628, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 80, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
[Ka] P. Katsylo, *Sections of sheets in a reductive algebraic Lie algebra*. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 46 (1982), no. 3, 477486, 670.
[KV] D. Kaledin, M. Verbitsky. *Period map for non-compact holomorphically symplectic manifolds*. GAFA 12(2002), 1265-1295.
[Ki] A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*. (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 17 1962 no. 4 (106), 57110.
[Le] P. Levy, *Isomorphism problems of noncommutative deformations of type D Kleinian singularities*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), no. 5, 2351-2375.
[Lo1] I. Losev, *Quantized symplectic actions and W-algebras*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23(2010), 35-59.
[Lo2] I. Losev. *Finite dimensional representations of W-algebras*. Duke Math J. 159(2011), n.1, 99-143.
[Lo3] I. Losev, *Completions of symplectic reflection algebras*. Selecta Math., 18(2012), N1, 179-251.
[Lo4] I. Losev, *Quantizations of nilpotent orbits vs 1-dimensional representations of W-algebras*, arXiv:1004.1669.
[Lo5] I. Losev, *Isomorphisms of quantizations via quantization of resolutions*. Adv. Math. 231(2012), 1216-1270.
[Lo6] I. Losev. *Primitive ideals in W-algebras of type A*. J. Algebra, 359 (2012), 80-88.
[Lo7] I. Losev. *Derived equivalences for Rational Cherednik algebras*. arXiv:1406.7502.
[Lo8] I. Losev. *Quantizations of regular functions on nilpotent orbits*. arXiv: arXiv:1505.08048.
[LS] G. Lusztig, N. Spaltenstein. *Induced unipotent classes*. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 19(1979), 41-52.
[McG] W. McGovern. *Completely prime maximal ideals and quantization*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 519(1994).
[N1] Y. Namikawa, *A note on symplectic singularities*. arXiv:0101028.

[N2] Y. Namikawa, *Flops and Poisson deformations of symplectic varieties*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 44 (2008), no. 2, 259-314.
[N3] Y. Namikawa. *Induced nilpotent orbits and birational geometry*. Adv. Math. 222 (2009), no. 2, 547-564.
[N4] Y. Namikawa, *Poisson deformations of affine symplectic varieties, II*. Kyoto J. Math. 50 (2010), no. 4, 727752.
[N5] Y. Namikawa, *Poisson deformations of affine symplectic varieties*. Duke Math. J. 156 (2011), no. 1, 51-85.
[P1] A. Premet. *Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras*. Adv. Math. 170(2002), 1-55.
[P2] A. Premet. *Commutative quotients of finite W-algebras*. Adv. Math. 225 (2010), no. 1, 269306.
[P3] A. Premet. *Multiplicity-free primitive ideals associated with rigid nilpotent orbits*. Transform. Groups 19 (2014), no. 2, 569-641.
[PT] A. Premet, L. Topley. *Derived subalgebras of centralizers and finite W-algebras*. Compos. Math. 150 (2014), 1485-1548.
[V] D. Vogan. *Dixmier algebras, sheets, and representation theory*. Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989), 333-395, Progr. Math., 92, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston MA 02115 USA
E-mail address: i.loseu@neu.edu